

May 5, 2023

	Yes	No
DOR Administrative Costs/Savings	X	

*State Taxes Only—  
See Separate Analysis for Property Tax Provisions*

Department of Revenue  
Analysis of H.F. 1938 (Gomez) 1<sup>st</sup> Unofficial Engrossment

	<b>Fund Impact</b>			
	<u>F.Y. 2024</u>	<u>F.Y. 2025</u>	<u>F.Y. 2026</u>	<u>F.Y. 2027</u>
	(000's)			
<b>Individual Income Tax</b>				
New Markets Credit	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$1,800)
Beginning Farmer Credit	(\$4,000)	(\$4,000)	(\$4,000)	(\$4,000)
Angel Tax Credit	(\$5,000)	(\$5,000)	(\$5,000)	(\$5,000)
Film Production Credit	(\$2,900)	(\$2,900)	(\$3,300)	(\$3,300)
Pass-through Entity Tax	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Resident Trusts	\$0	\$0	(\$7,300)	(\$11,500)
Dependent Care Credit	(\$234,500)	(\$236,400)	(\$238,200)	(\$240,200)
Addition Dependent Care Expenses	\$10,400	\$10,500	\$10,600	\$10,700
K-12 Credit; Education Expenses	(\$12,100)	(\$12,700)	(\$13,000)	(\$13,300)
Interaction: K-12 Subtraction	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,100
Social Security Subtraction	(\$235,800)	(\$260,400)	(\$279,500)	(\$297,300)
Public Pension Subtraction	(\$41,300)	(\$41,100)	(\$41,000)	(\$40,800)
Interaction: SS Subtraction	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000
Political Contribution Refund	(\$700)	(\$1,400)	(\$1,400)	(\$1,400)
Working Family Credit for ITINs	(\$9,800)	(\$10,000)	(\$10,200)	(\$10,400)
Military Credit Due Date	(\$200)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Credit for Parents of Stillborn Children	Negl.	Negl.	Negl.	Negl.
Child Tax Credit	(\$316,500)	(\$332,400)	(\$341,400)	(\$349,100)
Manufactured Home Park Credit	(\$350)	(\$380)	(\$400)	(\$430)
Short Line RR Credit	(\$1,400)	(\$1,400)	(\$1,400)	(\$1,400)
Nonresident Exemptions	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$2,500)
One-time Refundable Credit	(\$1,088,900)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Unemployment Subtraction	(\$10)	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Corporate Franchise Tax</b>				
Worldwide Income Reporting	\$100,800	\$337,300	\$343,000	\$350,700
Historic Rehabilitation Credit	(\$700)	(\$3,100)	(\$8,100)	(\$14,700)
<b>Federal Update</b>				
Secure Act 2.0	\$2,700	(\$800)	(\$800)	(\$2,000)
Nonresident Income Calculation	\$4,400	(\$700)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,400)
Limit on Excess Business Losses (TY26)	\$0	\$0	\$20,700	\$48,300
Limit on Excess Business Losses (TY27-28)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21,400

	<b>Fund Impact</b>			
	<b><u>F.Y. 2024</u></b>	<b><u>F.Y. 2025</u></b>	<b><u>F.Y. 2026</u></b>	<b><u>F.Y. 2027</u></b>
	(000's)			
<b>Sales and Use Taxes</b>				
County Agricultural Societies	(\$30)	(\$30)	(\$30)	(\$30)
Baby Products	(\$1,600)	(\$1,800)	(\$1,800)	(\$1,900)
Firearms Storage Units	(\$20)	(\$20)	(\$20)	(\$20)
Fiber and Conduit	(\$2,200)	(\$2,200)	(\$2,300)	(\$2,350)
Amenities Included with Admissions	(\$660)	(\$350)	(\$360)	(\$370)
Nonprofit Blood Centers	(\$1,400)	(\$300)	(\$300)	(\$300)
Nonprofit Snowmobile Clubs	(\$50)	(\$60)	(\$60)	(\$60)
City of Mazeppa	(\$20)	\$0	\$0	\$0
North Metro Range	(\$290)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Certain Natural Gas Fees	(\$7,110)	(\$2,380)	(\$2,380)	(\$1,760)
Beltrami County Jail	\$0	\$0	(\$970)	(\$970)
City of Chanhassen	\$0	(\$260)	(\$260)	(\$260)
Chisholm Public Schools	(\$420)	(\$420)	\$0	\$0
Duluth Public Schools	(\$510)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ely Public Schools	(\$360)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Hibbing Public Schools	(\$260)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Itasca County	(\$150)	(\$150)	(\$150)	\$0
MSP Airport	(\$7,560)	\$0	\$0	\$0
City of Moorhead	\$0	(\$240)	(\$240)	(\$240)
Nashwauk-Keewatin Public Schools	(\$620)	(\$620)	\$0	\$0
Northern Lights Academy	(\$160)	(\$160)	\$0	\$0
Northland Learning Center	(\$190)	(\$190)	\$0	\$0
City of Oakdale	\$0	(\$250)	(\$250)	(\$250)
City of Ramsey	(\$350)	(\$350)	(\$350)	(\$350)
Red Lake County Schools	\$0	(\$400)	\$0	\$0
Red Rock Central Schools	(\$530)	(\$530)	\$0	\$0
Rock Ridge Public Schools	(\$3,050)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Spring Grove Fire Remediation	(\$130)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Springfield Schools	(\$370)	(\$370)	\$0	\$0
City of Wayzata	(\$1,080)	\$0	\$0	\$0
City of Woodbury	\$0	(\$520)	(\$520)	\$0
<b>Miscellaneous</b>				
Solid Waste Tax Transfer	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$18,400)
<b>General Fund Total</b>	<b>(\$1,862,980)</b>	<b>(\$574,480)</b>	<b>(\$589,690)</b>	<b>(\$594,590)</b>

	<b>Fund Impact</b>			
	<b><u>F.Y. 2024</u></b>	<b><u>F.Y. 2025</u></b>	<b><u>F.Y. 2026</u></b>	<b><u>F.Y. 2027</u></b>
	(000's)			
<b>Sales and Use Taxes</b>				
County Agricultural Societies	(Negl.)	(Negl.)	(Negl.)	(Negl.)
Baby Products	(\$100)	(\$100)	(\$100)	(\$100)
Firearms Storage Units	(Negl.)	(Negl.)	(Negl.)	(Negl.)
Fiber and Conduit	(\$100)	(\$150)	(\$100)	(\$150)
Amenities Included with Admissions	(\$40)	(\$20)	(\$20)	(\$20)
Nonprofit Blood Centers	(\$80)	(\$20)	(\$20)	(\$20)
Nonprofit Snowmobile Clubs	(Negl.)	(Negl.)	(Negl.)	(Negl.)
City of Mazeppa	(Negl)	\$0	\$0	\$0
North Metro Range	(\$20)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Certain Natural Gas Fees	(\$410)	(\$140)	(\$140)	(\$100)
Beltrami County Jail	\$0	\$0	(\$60)	(\$60)
City of Chanhassen	\$0	(\$15)	(\$15)	(\$15)
Chisholm Public Schools	(\$20)	(\$20)	\$0	\$0
Duluth Public Schools	(\$30)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ely Public Schools	(\$20)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Hibbing Public Schools	(\$10)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Itasca County	(\$10)	(\$10)	(\$10)	\$0
MSP Airport	(\$440)	\$0	\$0	\$0
City of Moorhead	\$0	(\$10)	(\$10)	(\$10)
Nashwauk-Keewatin Public Schools	(\$40)	(\$40)	\$0	\$0
Northern Lights Academy	(\$10)	(\$10)	\$0	\$0
Northland Learning Center	(\$10)	(\$10)	\$0	\$0
City of Oakdale	\$0	(\$10)	(\$10)	(\$10)
City of Ramsey	(\$20)	(\$20)	(\$20)	(\$20)
Red Lake County Schools	\$0	(\$20)	\$0	\$0
Red Rock Central Schools	(\$30)	(\$30)	\$0	\$0
Rock Ridge Public Schools	(\$180)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Spring Grove Fire Remediation	(\$10)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Springfield Schools	(\$20)	(\$20)	\$0	\$0
City of Wayzata	(\$60)	\$0	\$0	\$0
City of Woodbury	\$0	(\$30)	(\$30)	\$0
<b>Natural Resources and Arts Funds Total</b>	<b>(\$1,660)</b>	<b>(\$675)</b>	<b>(\$535)</b>	<b>(\$505)</b>
Solid Waste Tax Transfer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$18,400
<b>Environmental Fund Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$18,400</b>
<b>Total—All Funds</b>	<b>(\$1,864,640)</b>	<b>(\$575,155)</b>	<b>(\$590,225)</b>	<b>(\$576,695)</b>

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL**

### **Income and Corporate Franchise Tax – Article 1**

#### ***New Markets Credit (Article 1, Sections 1, 6, 12, 13, 36, 45)*** *Effective beginning with tax year 2024.*

The bill creates a new markets tax credit against the corporate franchise tax, individual income tax, and insurance gross premiums tax for investors who make qualified equity investments in qualified community development entities (CDEs). The credit would be related to the federal New Markets Tax Credit program, which is administered by the U.S. Treasury Department's Community Development Financial Institutions (CDFI) Fund. CDEs are defined in federal law and are financial institutions that provide capital investments to low-income communities and have been certified by the CDFI Fund.

Under the bill, CDEs would apply to the Department of Employment and Economic Development (DEED) to have an investment certified as a qualified equity investment eligible for a tax credit. The application fee is \$5,000. The Department would have 30 days after receiving an application to approve or deny the applicant. If denied, the applicant would have an additional 15 days to revise the application.

A total amount of \$120 million in qualified equity investment authority would be authorized, including a \$60 million allocation for the metropolitan area and a \$60 million allocation for Greater Minnesota. Greater Minnesota includes all counties outside the seven-county metropolitan area.

Qualified equity investments are equity investments purchased by investors after the bill's effective date solely in exchange for cash. At least 100% of the cash received by the CDE is required to be used to make initial qualified low-income community investments.

Qualified low-income community investments are capital or equity investments in, or loans to, any qualified active low-income community business. As defined in federal laws and regulations, a qualified active low-income community business is a business that derives over 50% of its income from a low-income community, uses a substantial portion of its property in a low-income community, and locates a substantial portion of its employees in a low-income community.

If the investment is certified as a qualified equity investment, the investor is eligible for a tax credit, to be paid out over seven years. The credit equals 0% of the qualified investment for each of the first two years and 10% for each of the five subsequent years, for a total of 50%. The credit is nonrefundable but may be carried forward for up to five years.

The tax credit must be recaptured if the federal credit for the same qualified equity investment is recaptured, if the entity redeems any of the investment within seven years, or if the entity fails to invest all of the purchase price in qualified low-income community investments.

The program would expire after tax year 2031.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

If the program has not been evaluated by the Tax Expenditure Review Commission by December 12, 2032, the Commissioner of Employment and Economic Development would have to report to the legislature by December 31, 2032 on the implementation of the tax credit, including an evaluation of the credit using the same components of review required for the Tax Expenditure Review Commission.

- It is assumed that the total qualified equity investment authority of \$120 million would be allocated within the first two years.
- Because the credit percentage is zero in the year of the investment and the following year, tax year 2026 is the first year that credits will be claimed.
- The total amount of tax credits would equal \$60 million for all years through tax year 2031.
- Any carryover credits could continue to be claimed through tax year 2036.
- Tax year impacts are allocated 30% / 70% to fiscal years.

### ***Beginning Farmer Credit (Article 1, Sections 2-5, 50)*** *Effective for tax years 2023 through 2026.*

**Current Law:** The beginning farmer credit is a credit against the individual income tax or corporate franchise tax for the rent or sale of agricultural assets to beginning farmers. An agricultural asset includes land, livestock, facilities, buildings, and machinery used for farming in Minnesota. A beginning farmer must be a Minnesota resident who is seeking entry or has entered farming within the last 10 years, and who will provide the majority of the labor and management of the farm that is located in Minnesota. Further they must have adequate experience and knowledge of the type of farming for which they seek assistance from the Rural Finance Authority, can provide positive projected earnings statements, is not directly related to the owner of the asset, and have a net worth that does not exceed the eligibility limit for beginning farmer loans.

The credit is equal to one of the following:

- 5% of the lesser of the sale price or the fair market value of the agricultural asset, up to a maximum of \$32,000;
- 10% of the gross rental income in each of the first, second, and third years of a rental agreement, up to a maximum of \$7,000 per year; or
- 15% of the cash equivalent of the gross rental income in each of the first, second, and third years of a share rent agreement, up to a maximum of \$10,000 per year.

The credit is nonrefundable but may be carried forward for up to 15 years. The total value of credits allocated by the Rural Finance Authority is capped at \$6 million per year. Certificates for the credit are issued on a first-come first-served basis, but with a preference for some re-certifications. The credit will expire after tax year 2023.

**Proposed Law:** For credits for the sale of agricultural land, the bill eliminates the requirement that the beginning farmer or spouse may not be directly related to the owner.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

In such sales to a family member, the beginning farmer or their spouse is a family member of the owner of the agricultural land or a partner, member, shareholder, or trustee of the owner of the agricultural land. Furthermore, for a sale to a family member to qualify for the credit, the sales price of the agricultural land must equal or exceed the assessed value of the land as of the date of sale.

The bill also increases the credit rate for sales from 5% to 8% and increases the maximum credit for sales from \$32,000 to \$50,000.

For sales to socially disadvantaged farmers, the credit rate is 12%. Socially disadvantaged farmers are defined as farmers who are members of a racial or ethnic group who has been subjected to prejudice because of their identifying as a part of that group.

The bill repeals the credit sunset, currently set to expire after tax year 2023. The bill cancels any credits that remain unallocated at the end of tax year 2022. Beginning with tax year 2023, any amount authorized but not allocated in a tax year is added to the allocation for the next tax year.

- About \$2.5 million in credits were claimed in tax year 2021.
- The estimate is based on data from the Electronic Certificate of Real Estate Value database where Minnesota real estate sales are recorded.
- From 2018 through 2021, there were an average of 1,800 relative sales of agricultural land each year. The total purchase amount averaged \$546 million per year.
- It is unknown how many of those sales would qualify for the credit. This estimate assumes that 10% of the sales to relatives would qualify.
- The number of sales to socially disadvantaged beginning farmers is unknown. Data from the 2017 Census of Agriculture (the most recent available) reported that there were 89,383 principal agricultural producers in Minnesota. About 704 were identified as a race eligible for socially disadvantaged farmer status, or less than 1% of the total. Total credits were increased by 4% to account for sales to socially disadvantaged farmers, including those that are also familial sales.
- The bill increases the credit rate from 5% to 8% and the maximum credit increases from \$32,000 to \$50,000. About 45% of the credits are from sales, based on information from the Department of Agriculture, the credit from sales is assumed to increase by about 60%.
- With carryovers in unallocated credits from previous years, the total credits in a given year may exceed \$6 million. Once the carryovers are used up, the total credit will be limited to \$6 million per year.
- With the repeal of the sunset, credits will continue in tax year 2024 and beyond.
- A 5% growth rate is assumed.
- Tax year impacts are allocated to the following fiscal year.

### ***Angel Tax Credit (Article 1, Sections 7, 8, 48)***

*Effective for tax years 2023 through 2026.*

**Current Law:** The angel investment tax credit is the commonly used name for a provision identified in statute as the small business investment tax credit.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The refundable individual income tax credit is equal to 25% of the investment made in a qualified small business. The maximum credit for a tax year is \$250,000 for a married couple filing a joint return and \$125,000 for other filers. The investment can be made directly by a qualified taxpayer or through a qualified angel investment network fund that invests in a qualified small business. The law specifies the requirements for the investor, the investment fund, the investment, and the small business. No more than \$1 million in credits can be allocated to any one qualified small business over all taxable years.

Current law limits the maximum credit to \$10 million for tax year 2021 and \$5 million for tax year 2022, after which the credit is set to expire.

**Proposed Law:** The bill would extend the credit for tax years 2023 through 2026, with a maximum credit of \$5 million each year.

- It is assumed that the maximum credit would be allocated per year.
- Tax year impacts are allocated to the following fiscal year.

**Number of Taxpayers:** Approximately 500 returns claimed the credit in tax year 2021.

### ***Film Production Credit (Article 1, Sections 9-11, 26, 43)***

*Effective beginning with tax year 2023.*

**Current Law:** A credit is allowed against the individual income tax, corporate franchise tax and gross premiums tax equal to 25% of film production costs for films made in Minnesota by persons or entities that incur such costs and are subject to those taxes. Films include feature films, television or Internet pilots, programs, series, documentaries, music videos, and television commercials. Films must include the promotion of Minnesota and have at least \$1,000,000 of production costs expended in the tax year. If a taxpayer elects to transfer the credit in lieu of claiming the credit, the transferred credit may be sold or assigned, in full or in part, to another taxpayer for not less than 80 percent of the credit amount subject to transfer.

The credit or credit transfer can be carried over to each of the five succeeding taxable years. The amount of the unused credit or credit transfer must not exceed the taxpayer's liability for tax. Credits granted to partnerships, S corporations, or a limited liability company taxed as a partnership are passed through to each partner, member, shareholder, or owner respectively.

A taxpayer must apply to the Commissioner of Employment and Economic Development to qualify for a credit or credit transfer. The application must contain information as prescribed by the Commissioner in consultation with the Commissioner of Revenue. The application must indicate if the application is for a credit or a credit transfer in lieu of credit, or a combination of the two.

### EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)

The Commissioner of Employment and Economic Development may not issue more than \$4.95 million in credits each year. Anything not allocated in the current fiscal year can be allocated to the following years. The Commissioner must issue credit certificates on a first-come, first-served basis beginning on January 1 of each year.

No credits can be awarded for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2024.

**Proposed Law:** The bill would modify the production costs needed for eligibility from \$1 million in a tax year to \$1 million in a 12-month consecutive period.

The bill also increases the total maximum credit allocation from \$4.95 million to \$9.95 million per year beginning with tax year 2023, except that the total increase in credits in fiscal years 2024 and 2025 may not exceed \$5.8 million, and the total increase in credits in fiscal years 2026 and 2027 may not exceed \$6.6 million. The Commissioner of Employment and Economic Development must adjust the allocation certificates to ensure that the increase in credits does not exceed that amount. The bill also extends the sunset on the credits through tax year 2032.

- The estimate is based on information on credit certificates issued in tax years 2022 and 2023 to date and information from other states.
- Credits totaled about \$1.1 million in tax year 2022 and are expected to be at least \$2.2 million in tax year 2023, based on current projects.
- It is assumed that the increased in the amount of credits with no sunset will cause an increase in demand for the program. This has been seen in other states with a comparable credit program.
- Oklahoma has a similar credit for film productions with initial year allocations of \$8 million. (They did increase their program to \$30 million in year 9 of the program)
- Credit usage was modeled based on the growth in credits in Oklahoma, smoothed to adjust for COVID disruptions. Over time the total credits are expected to reach the maximum amount each year.
- The February 2023 forecast includes a projected \$2.1 million in credits in fiscal year 2024 and \$2.5 million in fiscal year 2025. No credits are projected in fiscal years 2026 and 2027 due to the expiration of the credit. The projected allocations under the bill are shown in the table below:

	Current Credits (Projected)	Proposed Allocation
TY23 (FY24)	2,100	5,000
TY24 (FY25)	2,500	5,400
TY25 (FY26)	-	3,300
TY26 (FY27)	-	3,300
Increase in FY24-25		5,800
Increase in FY26-27		6,600



## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

### ***Pass-through Entity Tax (Article 1, Sections 14-16, 18, 27; Article 14, Sections 1-6)*** *Generally effective beginning with tax year 2022.*

**Current Law:** Pass-through entities such as S corporations and partnerships do not generally pay state or federal income taxes directly. Their income is passed to shareholders, who report the income on their individual income tax returns.

Beginning in tax year 2021, Minnesota created a pass-through entity tax that allows a partnership, S corporation, or limited liability company to file and compute tax liability at the entity level. The pass-through entity tax is equal to the sum of the tax liability of each qualifying owner. Each qualifying owner's tax by determining the owner's Minnesota source income from the entity. The income of both resident and nonresident owners is allocated to Minnesota in the same way as for nonresident partners and shareholders. The resulting income amount then multiplied by 9.85%.

Certain nonresident owners may have their Minnesota income tax filing requirement satisfied by the entity's Schedule PTE similar to composite income tax. The other owners will receive a refundable income tax credit for their portion of the PTE tax paid by the entity.

If the election is made by the majority owners of the qualifying entity (having more than 50% ownership interest), the election is binding on every owner of the entity. The election must be made by the date the return is due or the extended due date. To qualify, at least one owner of the entity must be limited by the federal limit on state and local tax deductions.

**Proposed Law:** The bill changes the tax and the credit in several ways. Under the bill, all of the income of a resident qualifying owner of a partnership or limited liability company taxed as a partnership would be allocated to Minnesota for purposes of the pass-through entity tax. The income of a nonresident and a resident qualifying owner of an S corporation would be allocated to the state as under current law.

The bill clarifies that limited liability companies are not qualified entities unless they are taxed as a partnership or S corporation and that a qualifying entity must have at least one qualifying owner.

Currently, multi-level partnerships, LLCs or corporations are not qualifying entities. The bill removes that restriction, although a publicly traded partnership would not be a qualifying entity.

A disregarded LLC with a qualifying owner as its single owner would be a qualifying owner.

Currently, the election to pay the pass-through entity tax is binding on all owners of a qualifying entity. Under the bill, the election must exclude partners, members, shareholders, or owners who are not qualifying owners, and the election could be made by qualifying owners who hold more than 50% of the interest held by qualifying owners, rather than 50% of the total interest in the qualifying entity.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The bill removes the requirement that at least one owner be limited by the federal limit on state and local tax (SALT) deductions and adds a sunset provision so that the tax and credit expire at the same time as the federal limit on SALT deductions.

The bill specifies that if a partnership is subject to a federal audit, the entity must file an amended pass-through entity tax return for all direct partners who were included in the tax in the reviewed year and pay any additional amount owed.

- The estimate is based on information from tax year 2021, the first year the pass-through entity tax was in effect. In tax year 2021, about 27,500 entities paid \$1,782.4 million in pass-through entity tax. Pass-through entity tax credits totaled \$1,536.6 million on 35,000 returns.
- The bill will increase the tax and the credit for resident owners by allocating all of their income to Minnesota. Those owners will pay more tax and therefore be eligible for a higher credit.
- The bill also expands eligibility for the tax and the credit in several ways, including by removing the requirement that at least one qualifying owner be limited by the federal SALT cap, by allowing multi-level entities to be qualifying entities, and by allowing partial elections for qualifying owners only.
- Those provisions will increase the PTE tax and credits by an unknown amount. This estimate assumes a 15% increase in the tax and the credit.
- Because the PTE tax credit offsets the tax, the net effect will generally be revenue neutral. However, the PTE tax pass-through entity tax may reduce a shareholder's federal adjusted gross income and may affect the shareholder's Minnesota tax liability and eligibility for tax credits.
- For example, the standard deduction and itemized deductions are phased out by adjusted gross income over a certain threshold. The reduction in FAGI may increase a taxpayer's standard deduction. Credits such as the working family credit also depend on FAGI.
- Because the bill expands the pass-through entity tax, it will reduce Minnesota tax liability by an unknown amount.

### ***Resident Trusts (Article 1, Section 17)***

*Effective beginning with tax year 2025.*

**Current Law:** For non-grantor trusts that were made irrevocable or were first administered in Minnesota before January 1, 1996, a resident trust must meet two of the following criteria:

- A majority of the investment decisions are made in Minnesota.
- A majority of the income distribution decisions are made in Minnesota.
- The trust's official books and records are kept in Minnesota.

For non-grantor trusts that were made irrevocable or first administered in Minnesota on or after January 1, 1996, a trust is a resident trust if the grantor was domiciled in Minnesota at the time the trust was made irrevocable, or, for trusts created by a will, if the decedent was domiciled in Minnesota at the time of death.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

Based on the Minnesota Supreme Court decision in *Fielding v. Commissioner of Revenue*, a trust may be taxed as a resident trust if it has sufficient connections to Minnesota, including a Minnesota connection to the trustee, the trust's assets, administration of the trust, and the trust in the tax year at issue.

If a trust meets the statutory definition of a resident trust but does not have minimum connections to Minnesota, it may be classified as a "due process" nonresident trust.

**Proposed Law:** The bill retains the definition of a resident trust for pre-1996 trusts and applies a similar definition for post-1995 trusts.

For trusts made irrevocable or first administered in Minnesota on or after January 1, 1996, a non-grantor trust is a resident trust if it was 1) created by a will of a decedent who was domiciled in Minnesota at the time of death, or 2) if the grantor was domiciled in Minnesota when the trust was made irrevocable and if two of these three criteria apply:

- A majority of investment decisions are made in Minnesota.
  - A majority of income distribution decisions are made in Minnesota.
  - The trust's official books and records are kept in Minnesota.
- In tax year 2020, about 21,900 resident trust returns were filed with a total tax liability of about \$68.6 million.
  - Resident trusts reported about \$1.066 billion in intangible income, including taxable interest, dividends and capital gains.
  - Intangible income is sourced to the state of residence. If resident trusts were reclassified as nonresident trusts due to the revised definition in the bill, their intangible income would no longer be taxable to Minnesota.
  - Excluding intangible income from the income of resident trusts would reduce their Minnesota tax liability by about 87%.
  - It is unknown how many trusts would be classified as nonresident trusts under the bill's definition. There would be some incentive to move administration of a trust out of the state to be reclassified as a nonresident trust.
  - This estimate assumes that 10% of resident trusts would be reclassified as nonresident trusts in tax year 2025, with an additional 5% being reclassified each year thereafter.
  - Growth is based on projected growth in individual income tax collections in the February 2023 forecast from the Minnesota Department of Management and Budget.
  - Tax year impacts are allocated to the following fiscal year.

**Number of Taxpayers:** About 21,900 resident trusts filed returns in tax year 2020. An unknown number would be affected by the bill.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

### ***Dependent Care Credit (Article 1, Sections 19 & 28)***

*Effective beginning with tax year 2023.*

#### **Current Law:**

Exclusion for Dependent Care Expenses. Employer-provided dependent care assistance is excluded from an employee's income if the assistance is provided through a formal, written plan. The amount excluded from an employee's income cannot exceed \$5,000 during a tax year.

Federal Dependent Care Credit. The federal dependent care credit is a nonrefundable credit equal to a percentage of unreimbursed employment-related expenses related to child or dependent care, up to \$3,000 for one qualifying dependent and \$6,000 for two or more qualifying dependents. The credit rate depends on income and ranges from 35% for families with incomes of \$15,000 or less to 20% for families with incomes over \$43,000. The maximum federal credit is equal to \$1,050 for one dependent and \$2,100 for two or more dependents. The maximum qualifying expenses are reduced by the amount of the exclusion. Married separate filers are not eligible for the federal credit unless the couple has lived apart for the last half of the year and other conditions apply.

Minnesota Dependent Care Credit. The Minnesota dependent care credit is equal to the federal credit except that it is refundable and the maximum credit is phased out by 5% of adjusted gross income over a threshold (\$59,210 in 2023). The threshold is indexed annually for inflation. The credit is not available for individuals filing a married separate return. A newborn credit is allowed for a child born in the tax year even if there are no qualifying expenses. The newborn credit is only available to married taxpayers.

**Proposed Law:** The bill substantially revises the Minnesota credit. The credit is equal to a percentage of eligible employment-related dependent care expenses. Maximum eligible expenses are \$3,000 for one qualifying dependent and \$6,000 for two or more qualifying dependents.

The maximum eligible expenses are increased for a "young child", defined as a qualifying dependent under the age of five. The maximum is increased by \$7,000 for one young child, by \$14,000 for two young children, and by \$19,000 for three or more young children.

The credit equals 50% of eligible expenses, reduced by one percentage point for each \$800 of adjusted gross income over \$160,000 (\$400 of adjusted gross income of \$80,000 for married separate filers) but not less than zero. The thresholds are adjusted for inflation beginning in tax year 2024.

Taxpayers who care for their own child under the age of six at a licensed family day care home are deemed to have paid an amount equal to what they would charge to care for the child. A married couple with a newborn child is deemed to have the maximum allowed expenses for that child, but not more than the combined earned income reported on the return. To qualify, the couple cannot participate in a federal employer-provided dependent care assistance program.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

A taxpayer who claims the credit or the spouse of a taxpayer filing a separate return who claims the credit must add back the amount of any federal exclusion for employer-provided dependent care assistance.

- The dependent care credit totaled about \$25.3 million on 48,900 returns in 2019.
- Information from the Minnesota dependent care credit and the federal dependent care credit was used to estimate the proposed credit.
- For taxpayers who claimed the Minnesota credit, dependent age was determined based on information reported on the M1CD.
- Dependent age information was not available for taxpayers who did not file an M1CD. For those returns, ages were randomly assigned.
- The rate was calculated based on federal adjusted gross income. The thresholds were adjusted to 2019 levels based on the change in the chained consumer price index from 2019 to 2023.
- For taxpayers who did not claim the federal credit or the Minnesota credit, expenses were estimated based on the amount of dependent care benefits reported on the W-2. Taxpayers with the maximum exclusion were assumed to have the maximum allowed benefits for the credit.
- It is assumed that returns with a credit rate less than their marginal tax rate would not claim the credit, since they would get a greater benefit from the federal exclusion.
- Returns with excluded federal dependent care benefits would have to add the excluded amount to their taxable income to claim the credit. The amount of the credit was compared to the increase in tax due to the addition. Taxpayers are assumed to claim the credit only if they have a net reduction in tax.
- About 41,500 returns would have an addition for federally excluded dependent care benefits. The average addition would be \$3,602.
- A marginal rate of 7.1% is used to estimate the impact of the addition.
- Growth is based on the projected growth for the current credit in the February 2023 forecast.
- Tax year impacts are allocated to the following fiscal year.
- About 144,300 returns would claim the proposed credit. The average tax decrease is \$1,553.

### ***K-12 Education Credit (Article 1, Sections 20 & 30)***

*Effective beginning with tax year 2023.*

**Current Law:** Under current law, a taxpayer is allowed a refundable income tax credit equal to 75% of eligible education expenses for a qualifying child in kindergarten through 12<sup>th</sup> grade. The maximum credit is \$1,000 for each child.

Eligible expenses include fees for instruction outside the regular school day or school year, expenses for textbooks or instructional materials, and transportation costs paid to others. Tuition is not an eligible expense. A maximum of \$200 per family for certain computer hardware and software is allowed.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The maximum credit is phased out beginning at household income of \$33,500. For taxpayers with one child, the maximum credit is reduced by one dollar for every four dollars of household income over \$33,500. For taxpayers with two or more children, the maximum credit is reduced by two dollars for every four dollars of household income over \$33,500. The phase-out range is increased by \$2,000 for each additional child. The income thresholds are not indexed for inflation. Household income includes income from all sources, both taxable and nontaxable.

**Proposed Law:** The bill makes the following changes:

- Uses federal adjusted gross income rather than total household income for credit phase-out threshold. The new threshold is \$70,000 for tax year 2023. The income threshold will be indexed for inflation starting tax year 2024.
- Increases the maximum credit from \$1,000 to \$1,500 starting tax year 2023.

The phase-out rate starts at the new, higher maximum income but is otherwise unchanged. The bill makes some technical changes in how qualifying expenses are defined.

- The estimate is based on a sample of 2019 individual income tax returns.
- In tax year 2019, K-12 education credits totaled \$7.2 million on 28,700 returns. Maximum credits claimed account for about 5.5% of total credits.
- The credit has declined over the past several years, including a decline of about 20% in tax years 2020 and 2021. The February 2023 budget forecast assumes that the credit will return to 2019 levels by 2022.
- An additional 31,200 returns are expected to qualify for the proposed credit. About 5,100 returns in the current phase-out range could also receive an increased credit.
- The credit is assumed to grow at the rate of the chained consumer price index.
- Taxpayers who claim the credit cannot claim the subtraction for the same expense. A marginal rate of 6% is used to calculate the impact of reduced subtractions under the bill.
- The changes in the definition of qualifying expenses could affect which expenses are eligible for the credit. The impact of the definitional changes is unknown.
- Tax year impacts are allocated to the following fiscal year.

**Number of Taxpayers:** About 36,300 returns are affected, including 31,200 newly eligible returns and 5,100 returns that could receive an increased credit. The average reduction in tax is \$305 in tax year 2023.

### ***Social Security Subtraction (Article 1, Section 21)***

*Effective beginning with tax year 2023.*

Under current law, a taxpayer may subtract a portion of social security income when calculating Minnesota taxable income. For 2023, the maximum subtraction is \$5,840 for married joint filers, \$2,920 for married separate filers, and \$4,560 for single and head of household filers.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The subtraction is reduced by 20% of provisional income over the following thresholds for 2023: \$88,630 for married joint filers, \$44,315 for married separate filers, and \$69,250 for single and head of household filers. Provisional income is income used to calculate the federally taxable portion of social security benefits. The thresholds and maximum subtractions are adjusted annually for inflation.

The bill allows a subtraction equal to the greater of:

1. The current subtraction using 2023 maximum amounts and thresholds; or
2. An alternative subtraction equal to 100% of taxable social security income, reduced by 10% for each \$4,000 (\$2,000 for married separate filers) or fraction thereof of federal adjusted gross income over a phase-out threshold.

The phase-out thresholds for 2023 are as follows: \$100,000 for married joint filers, \$50,000 for married separate filers, and \$78,000 for single and head of household filers. The maximum amounts and thresholds for Option 1 will not be adjusted for inflation. The phase-out thresholds for Option 2 will be adjusted annually for inflation beginning in tax year 2024.

- The House Income Tax Simulation (HITS 7.2) Model was used to estimate the tax year revenue impact. These simulations assume the same economic conditions used by Minnesota Management and Budget for the forecast published in February 2023. The model uses a stratified sample of 2019 individual income tax returns compiled by the Minnesota Department of Revenue.
- Tax year impacts are allocated for the following fiscal year.
- About 321,950 tax returns would have a tax decrease in tax year 2023. The average decrease in tax would be \$732.
- About 900 returns would choose the current subtraction.
- The number of returns using the current calculation will decrease over time as the value of the subtraction declines relative to the alternative calculation, due to the lack of indexing. The estimate assumes that by tax year 2028 all returns will benefit more from the alternative calculation.

### ***Public Pension Subtraction (Article 1, Section 22)***

*Effective beginning with tax year 2023.*

Certain government workers who are members of a public pension plan are excluded from Social Security under federal law, provided their pension benefits meet certain requirements. These pension benefits are taxable under Minnesota law to the extent they are included in federal adjusted gross income.

The bill would create a retirement benefits subtraction, allowing taxpayers to subtract from their taxable income pension benefits from service for which the beneficiary is not also receiving Social Security benefits.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The subtraction equals qualified retirement benefits, up to a maximum of \$25,000 for married couples filing jointly and \$12,500 for all other filers. The subtraction is reduced by 10 percent for each \$2,000, or fraction thereof, of adjusted gross income (AGI) above a phase-out threshold specific to a taxpayer's filing status.

In tax year 2023, for married taxpayers filing a joint return and surviving spouses, the phase-out threshold is \$100,000. For single or head of household taxpayers, the phase-out threshold is \$78,000. For married taxpayers filing separate returns, the phase-out threshold is \$50,000. These amounts will be adjusted annually for inflation beginning in tax year 2024.

Taxpayers with eligible pensions include members of the State Patrol Retirement Plan, members of the legacy Legislators Retirement Fund, certain legacy members of the Public Employee Retirement Association (PERA) General Plan, legacy members of the Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund, members of the PERA Police & Fire Plan, certain legacy members of the Teacher Retirement Association, certain legacy members of the St. Paul Teachers Retirement Fund Association, and certain legacy members of the PERA Correctional Employees Retirement Fund. The proposal also includes any members of a federal pension plan who are ineligible for Social Security, and members of a pension plan administered by another state whose income tax laws permit a "similar deduction or exemption" for beneficiaries of a Minnesota pension plan.

The phrase "similar deduction or exemption" is undefined. For this estimate, a state was considered to have a "similar deduction or exemption" if it offers any deduction or exemption specifically for pension income. The estimate does not include states that offer an additional deduction for elderly taxpayers (irrespective of the source of income), states that offer a tax credit for pension benefits, and states without an income tax.

- The U.S. Office of Personnel Management's Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund Annual Reports and a Congressional Research Service report were used to estimate the average pension benefit and the number of taxpayers eligible for this subtraction from federal service.
- Since data on this population's taxable income is unavailable, a simulated beneficiary population was constructed using the 2019 income tax sample by selecting a random group of filers reporting Social Security income and replacing their Social Security income with a hypothetical pension income from one of the eligible public pension systems. The size of the sample and average pension amount were chosen to match the number of qualifying pension beneficiaries and total amount of pension income calculated from the valuation reports and CSRS forecast.
- The cost estimates for each of the state pension plans were reduced by the share of Minnesota pension recipients who retired to other states, using data from Minnesota State Retirement System, PERA and TRA. Data on SPTRFA beneficiaries was unavailable. Instead, a weighted average was used to impute a figure for this group.
- Data from the Census Bureau's 2019 Annual Survey of Public Pensions (ASPP), Public Plans Data (PPD) from the Center for Retirement Research at Boston University, and the IRS's U.S. Population Migration Data for 2018-2019 were used to estimate the number of Minnesota residents who receive retirement benefits from another state's pension plan.



## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

- About 2.43 million public plan beneficiaries nationwide are ineligible for Social Security, according to the PPD. Approximately 47% of these beneficiaries were members of a plan administered by a state with a similar deduction or exemption, estimated based on a review of state statutes. It is assumed that 10% of these beneficiaries, or about 115,000 pension recipients, retire to states other than where they worked. Minnesota's share of these pension recipients was estimated using Minnesota's share of inbound state-to-state migrants age 65 and older for 2019 from the IRS migration data. This yields an estimated 1,600 qualifying pensioners from other states who retire in Minnesota.
- The federal estimate was discounted by 7.5% to account for the already tax-free portion of CSRS benefits.
- Minnesota pension plan growth rates through 2022 are based on the observed growth rates in pension payouts from the valuation reports. For the remainder of the fiscal years in this estimate, the projected growth rates of benefit payments from the valuation reports were used instead.
- CSRS growth rates were based on the average historical growth rates for CSRS pension payments.
- Tax year impacts are allocated to the following fiscal year.
- Precise data on the number of state and federal pension recipients affected by the bill is unavailable. A maximum of about 20,200 Minnesota state pension recipients, 1,600 non-Minnesota state pension recipients and 26,300 federal pension recipients could be affected. State and federal pension recipients would receive an average decrease in tax of \$856.

### ***Worldwide Reporting (Article 1, Sections 23, 24, 41, 42)***

*Effective beginning with tax year 2024.*

Under current law, other than disqualified captive insurance companies, the net income and apportionment factors of foreign corporations and other foreign entities is not included in the net income or the apportionment factors of a unitary business. Each corporation or other entity, except a sole proprietorship, that is part of a unitary business must file combined reports. A foreign corporation or other foreign entity that is not included on a combined report and that is required to file a return must file on a separate basis.

The bill requires net income and apportionment factors of foreign corporations and other foreign entities to be included in the net income and apportionment factors of a combined unitary group.

The bill also creates a subtraction for Subpart F income for unitary businesses. Subpart F income is a portion of CFC income distinct from GILTI, which has been taxed both at the federal level and in Minnesota since prior to the enactment of the TCJA. Once subtracted, subpart F income must be re-included in the net income through worldwide combined reporting and treated as ordinary income.

- The estimate is based on a 2019 report on tax havens published by The Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy.
- The report estimates that if adopted by all states, worldwide combined reporting would generate an additional \$235 billion in taxable income nationally.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

- It is assumed that Minnesota would account for 1% of this income increase, or about \$2.35 billion.
- Taxing this additional income at a rate of 9.8% would increase revenue by about \$230 million in 2018, or about 17.5% of corporate franchise tax collections.
- This 17.5% increase is applied to corporate franchise tax collections as projected by Minnesota Management and Budget in the February 2023 forecast.
- The bill is effective beginning with tax year 2024. All tax year impacts are allocated 30% to the current fiscal year, and 70% to the following fiscal year.

### ***Political Contribution Refund (Article 1, Section 25)***

*Effective January 1, 2024 for refunds for contributions made beginning in calendar year 2024.*

The political contribution refund provides a refund to individuals for contributions made to qualifying political parties and candidates. The maximum refund is \$50 for an individual and \$100 for a married couple filing jointly. The refund claim must be filed no later than April 15 of the year following the calendar year in which the contribution was made. Only one claim is allowed per year.

The bill would increase the maximum refund amount that can be claimed. The new maximum for individuals would be \$75 and \$150 for couples filing jointly.

- The estimates are based on the February 2023 forecast.
- Fiscal year 2024 estimate was adjusted for six months of impact.

### ***Working Family Credit for ITINs (Article 1, Section 29, Article 14, Sections 7, 8)***

*Effective beginning with tax year 2023.*

**Current Law:** Under Section 32(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, an individual or a qualifying child must have a Social Security number to qualify for the federal earned income credit. Taxpayers filing an income tax return with an Individual Taxpayer Identification Number (ITIN) are not eligible for the federal credit, and therefore cannot receive the working family credit.

**Proposed Law:** The bill specifies that the limitation of Section 32(m) of the Internal Revenue Code does not apply to the working family credit, allowing a taxpayer, spouse, or qualifying child with an ITIN to be eligible for the working family credit.

ITINs are issued by the Internal Revenue Service to individuals who are not eligible for a social security number but are required to file taxes. They are used exclusively for the purpose of filing taxes. Examples of individuals who require an ITIN include non-resident alien individuals who are required to file with their resident spouse or international students who have not received permission to work outside of their educational department.

- Minnesota state income tax information from 2019 was used in this estimate. Tax year 2020 returns were not used since they may understate the impact.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

- In 2019, there were 21,300 returns filed using an ITIN for the taxpayer and/or the spouse. Of those, approximately 8,200 returns would qualify for the working family credit.
- All dependents were assumed to be qualifying children without regard to whether they had an ITIN.
- The average credit for tax year 2019 would have been about \$1,340 per return.
- Growth is based on projected growth in the working family credit in the February 2023 forecast.
- Tax year impacts were allocated to the following fiscal year.

**Number of Taxpayers:** About 9,000 taxpayers would benefit under the bill with an average credit of \$1,087 in tax year 2023.

### ***Military Credit (Article 1, Section 31)*** *Effective beginning with tax year 2023.*

A taxpayer domiciled in Minnesota is allowed a refundable credit equal to \$120 for each month or portion of a month of active military service in a combat zone. The credit is claimed on the form M99, which is filed separately from the M1 income tax return.

The bill would allow an individual to claim the credit for each calendar year in which active services was performed. The effect would be to allow taxpayers to file for the credit at any time during the year, rather than having to wait until the following year to claim the credit.

- The estimate is based on military credits claimed in tax years 2016 through 2020. Over the five-year period, an average of 880 credits were claimed each year totaling \$672,100.
- The bill would not affect the amount of credits, only the timing of payments.
- Currently about 85% of credits are claimed in the first half of the calendar year following the months of active duty. The remainder are claimed in the second half or later years.
- The bill would allow those credits to be claimed in the previous calendar year. Only credits that would be paid in January through June would change fiscal years, about 23.0% of the total credits. The shift in payments across fiscal years would result in a one-time loss in fiscal year 2024.
- No growth in the credit is assumed since the number of credits is volatile from year to year.

### ***Historic Rehabilitation Credit (Article 1, Sections 32, 33, 47, 48, 50)*** *Effective beginning July 1, 2023.*

**Current Law:** The historic rehabilitation credit is a refundable credit equal to 20% of qualified rehabilitation expenditures (QREs). The first requirement to receive the state tax credit is that the taxpayer must qualify for the federal tax credit. As with the federal credit, the Minnesota credit is spread over a period of five years.

## EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)

The State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) cannot allocate credits after June 30, 2022. Projects allocated credits prior to that date can receive credit certificates through fiscal year 2025. After a project is completed and placed in service, the final step is to issue tax credit certificates. Taxpayers use tax credit certificates to claim the tax credit.

**Proposed Law:** The bill would reinstate the credit effective July 1, 2023, allowing SHPO to allocate credits on or after that date. There is no sunset. Projects that have started rehabilitation work after June 30, 2022, but otherwise meet all requirements, may be eligible for the credit if their application is received within 60 days of the effective date. The credit would be paid out in five equal yearly installments, beginning the year the project is placed in service.

The first step of obtaining a historic rehabilitation tax credit is for a developer to submit an application for a credit allocation to SHPO. The effective date of the bill covers applications for credit allocations received by SHPO after June 30, 2023.

The time between a credit being allocated to a developer by SHPO and when a credit certificate is issued has been estimated using historical data. Once a credit is allocated, the credit certificate is issued somewhere between the same fiscal year it was allocated and three fiscal years after.

- Minnesota Management and Budget and SHPO provided data on the amount of historic rehabilitation credits between fiscal years 2013 and 2020. The average amount of credits issued per year during this period is about \$35 million.
- A portion of this amount (5%) reflects grants in lieu of credits.
- Credits would be available for only half of tax year 2023; demand is expected to reflect more than half of a typical year's activity.
- The amount of tax certificates associated with tax year 2023 is \$21.1 million, which includes an estimated \$100,00 in qualifying retroactive projects.
- The amount of tax certificates associated with tax year 2024 and for each year beyond is estimated to be \$35.0 million.
- The payment of the credit associated with each project is paid out in equal parts over five years once the project is placed in service.
- The timing of the credit payments is estimated based on historical information from SHPO. Once a credit has been allocated, about 17% of the credit certificates are expected to be issued in the same fiscal year, 31% will be issued in the next fiscal year, 39% will be issued two fiscal years later, and 14% will be issued three fiscal years later.
- Fiscal year 2032 is the first fiscal year where the revenue loss is \$35 million. For fiscal years after 2032, the revenue loss is expected to average around \$35 million annually.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

### ***Credit for Parents of Stillborn Children (Article 1, Section 34)***

*Effective beginning with tax year 2023.*

A refundable individual income tax credit of \$2,000 is allowed for an individual who is a parent of a stillborn child if the Minnesota Department of Health issued a Certificate of Birth Resulting in Stillbirth for that child. To qualify for the credit, the individual must have been eligible to claim the child as a dependent.

For nonresident or part-year residents, the credit must be allocated based on the percentage of their income that is attributable to Minnesota.

The bill disallows the credit for nonresidents.

The credit would be allowed for a stillbirth that occurs outside of Minnesota if it is documented by a certificate similar to the Minnesota certificate of birth resulting in stillbirth.

- The bill changes eligibility for the credit by disallowing the credit for nonresidents and allowing the credit for stillbirths that occur outside of Minnesota. The number affected by the bill is unknown but is assumed to be negligible.
- Tax year impacts are allocated to the following fiscal year.

**Number of Taxpayers:** About 200 credits were claimed for tax year 2020.

### ***Child Tax Credit (Article 1, Section 35)***

*Effective beginning with tax year 2023.*

The bill would create a refundable individual income tax credit for each qualifying child under the age of 18 claimed on an individual income tax return. A qualifying child is a dependent under Sections 151 and 152 of the Internal Revenue Code.

The credit is equal to \$620 per child. The maximum total credit allowable in tax year 2023 is \$1,860. The credit is reduced by \$62 for each \$1,000 of federal adjusted gross income (FAGI) over the following thresholds: \$50,000 for married joint filers, \$25,000 for married separate; and \$33,300 for all other filers.

For part-year residents, the credit would be apportioned based on the percentage of their total income that is Minnesota source income. Full-year nonresidents are not eligible. The credit amounts, maximum credit, and thresholds would be indexed for inflation starting in tax year 2024.

- The estimate is based on information from the U.S. Census Bureau, the 2018 incidence database, and a sample of the 2019 individual income tax returns.
- There were about 333,400 returns with eligible dependents in 2019. The total number of dependents was 653,000.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

- Based on the 2018 incidence database, about 70,900 dependents under 18 are in households that did not file an income tax return. It is assumed that half of those would claim the credit.
- Credit growth is based on projected growth in the chained consumer price index plus the five-year average growth rate of children under 18 in Minnesota.
- The estimate was reduced by 7% to exclude dependents without special needs over the age of 17.
- Tax year impacts are allocated to the following fiscal year.
- In tax year 2023, about 363,700 returns would claim the credit with an average credit of \$900.

### ***Manufactured Home Park Credit (Article 1, Section 37)***

*Effective beginning with tax year 2023.*

The bill creates a credit against the individual income tax and corporate franchise tax for sales of manufactured home parks to cooperatives. The credit is equal to 5% of the amount of the sale. The credit is nonrefundable but can be carried forward for up to five years.

To qualify, a taxpayer must sell qualified property to a manufactured home cooperative, a nonprofit organization intending to convert the park to a cooperative, or a representative of residents who intend to convert the park to a cooperative.

Qualified property includes a manufactured home park classified as 4c(5)(i) or 4c(5)(iii) property that qualifies as an Internal Revenue Code section 1250 property (in general, real property that is subject to depreciation, including residential and nonresidential real property).

For nonresidents and part-year residents, the credit is apportioned based on the percentage of income that is attributable to Minnesota.

- The estimated market value of manufactured home parks in 2023 is about \$931.9 million, based on Department of Revenue data.
- It is assumed that 1% of non-cooperative manufactured home parks, valued at about \$9.2 million, would be converted to co-ops each year.
- The seller would be eligible for a 5% credit. The estimate was reduced by 5% to account for nonresidents and part-year residents.
- The estimate was reduced by 20% since the credit is nonrefundable.
- Credits exceeding tax liability could be carried over for up to five years. It is assumed that 5% of carryovers will be claimed each year.
- Growth is based on projected growth in property values in the February 2023 forecast.
- Tax year impacts are allocated to the following fiscal year.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

### ***Short Line RR Credit (Article 1, Section 38, 44)***

*Effective beginning with tax year 2023.*

The bill creates a credit against the individual income tax, corporate franchise tax, and insurance gross premiums tax equal to 50% of qualified costs for maintenance, reconstruction, or replacement of railroad infrastructure.

Class II (regional and short line railroads with an annual gross operating revenue between \$42.4 million and \$943.9 million) and Class III (local and switching railroads with an annual gross operating revenue of less than \$42.4 million) railroads are eligible for the credit. In the case of a partnership or S corporation, the credit is passed through to each partner or shareholder. As proposed to be amended, the credit cannot exceed \$3,000 times the miles of track owned or leased by the railroad in Minnesota.

The credit is nonrefundable but may be carried forward for up to five years. The credit may be transferred under written agreement during the 5-year period.

- About 10 class II and III railroads are expected to qualify for the credit. The estimate is based on reported expenditures for those railroads in calendar years 2016 through 2020.
- Class II and III railroads have a total of 814 miles of track, based on Department of Transportation data. The credit was limited to \$3,000 per mile of track for each railroad.
- No growth is assumed since expenditures are volatile from year to year.

### ***Nonresident Exemptions (Article 1, Section 39)***

*Effective beginning with tax year 2026.*

**Current Law:** All residents and nonresidents with Minnesota source income must file an income tax return if their gross income is over the filing requirement. For tax year 2023, the filing requirement for residents is \$13,825 for singles under 65 and \$27,650 for married couples under 65. For nonresidents, the filing requirement is \$13,825 regardless of marital status or age.

**Proposed Law:** The bill allows an exclusion from gross income for wages of qualifying nonresidents for employment duties performed in Minnesota. A qualifying nonresident is an individual who (1) is paid wages for employment duties, excluding duties performed as an entertainer, in Minnesota for 30 or fewer day in a taxable year, (2) performed employment duties in more than one state in the tax year, and (3) whose state of residence provides a substantially similar exclusion or does not impose an individual income tax, or whose income is exempt from taxation in Minnesota.

Wages paid to a qualifying individual for these employment duties are exempt from the withholding and filing requirements. If an individual exceeds the 30-day threshold, the withholding and filing requirements apply for every day, including the first 30 days the employment duties are performed in Minnesota.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

A qualifying individual is considered to be performing employment duties within Minnesota for a day if the individual performs more of their duties in Minnesota than in any other state during that day. Any portion of the day spent in transit is not considered in determining the location of the employment duties.

- The estimate is based on information from nonresident individual income tax returns for tax year 2019.
- There are nine states with no individual income tax; Alaska, Florida, Nevada, New Hampshire, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Washington, and Wyoming.
- Currently, Louisiana is the only state that provides a substantially similar exclusion for nonresidents. Beginning in tax year 2022, Louisiana exempts wages paid to nonresidents who performed employment duties in the state for 25 or fewer days, performed duties in more than one state, is not an entertainer, and does not have income from other state sources.
- In tax year 2019, there were about 7,900 nonresident filers with wages and Minnesota source income who were from a state with no individual income tax or from a state with a substantially similar exclusion.
- Nonresident filers whose wages from a W-2 are at least 90% of their total wages are assumed to be employees. Those with Minnesota apportioned wages equal to or less than 1/12<sup>th</sup> of their total wages were assumed to be working in Minnesota for less than 30 days and eligible for the exclusion.
- Professional athletes and entertainers were excluded from the estimate.
- Growth is based on projected growth in individual income tax collections in the February 2023 forecast from the Minnesota Department of Management and Budget.
- Tax year impacts are allocated to the following fiscal year.

**Number of Taxpayers:** About 1,100 returns in tax year 2024 with an average reduction in tax of \$2,087.

### ***One-time Refundable Credit Payment (Article 1, Section 46)***

*Effective the day following enactment.*

The bill would create an advance income tax credit equal to \$558 for married joint and head of household filers, \$279 for single and married separate filers, and \$56 per dependent, up to three dependents. Returns with federal adjusted gross income (FAGI) higher than the specified thresholds are not eligible. The thresholds are as follows:

- \$75,000 for single and married separate filers
- \$150,000 for married joint, head of household, and widow filers.

Qualifying individuals include all full-year residents and part-year residents, excluding dependent returns. For part-year residents, the payment would be apportioned based on the percentage of their total income that is Minnesota source income.



## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The Department of Revenue would determine eligibility and send advance credits based on 2021 income tax returns. Taxpayers would settle up or claim the credit on their 2023 returns.

- The payments are assumed to be made after June 30, 2023. The fiscal year impact will depend on the actual timing of the payments.
- The number of income tax filers eligible for the payments is based on a sample of the 2019 individual income tax returns grown by 1.88% to account for population growth from 2019 to 2022.
- The 2018 incidence database was used to identify taxpayers who filed a property tax refund but not an income tax return and increased by 3.42% to account for population growth from 2018 to 2022.
- About 2,582,100 households would receive a payment, including the following:
  - 2,337,200 full-year resident households filing an income tax return
  - 42,300 part-year resident households filing an income tax return
  - 79,100 households with a property tax refund only
  - 123,600 households without an income tax return or a property tax refund.
- The average payment would be about \$422.
- Part-year residents are assumed to receive a 54.6% payment on average, based on the average percentage of income that is Minnesota source income.

### ***Unemployment Subtraction (Article 1, Section 49)***

*Effective retroactively for tax year 2021 only.*

The bill would retroactively allow a subtraction for unemployment compensation received by individuals during tax year 2021 as a result of the decision issued by the Minnesota Court of Appeals, 956 N.W. 2d 1, filed February 22, 2021.

Prior to the court's decision on December 1, 2020, it was believed that high school students under the age of 18 were not eligible for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance authorized under the federal CARES Act. DEED agreed to retroactively apply the court's decision to individuals that were previously denied unemployment benefits. Since the benefits were received in 2021, they are not eligible for the temporary income tax subtraction for unemployment benefits, which was only in effect for tax year 2020. The bill would allow a subtraction for the previously denied benefits.

- The estimate is based on individual income tax returns for tax year 2021.
- In tax year 2021, about 6,400 tax returns were filed by taxpayers aged between 14 and 17 with wages and positive tax liability. The average wage was \$14,048 with an average tax liability of \$272.
- Of these returns, about 90 had unemployment compensation. They are assumed to be eligible for the subtraction. Average eligible benefits are estimated at \$5,000.
- A marginal rate of 2% was assumed.
- The retroactive impact is allocated to fiscal year 2024.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

**Number of Taxpayers:** About 90 returns would have an average benefit of \$100.

### **Federal Update – Article 2**

The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023 (Public Law 117-328) was enacted on December 29, 2022. Division T of the Act, known as the SECURE 2.0 Act of 2022, includes several provisions which would affect the definition of income for Minnesota individual income tax purposes.

The bill would update reference to the Internal Revenue Code as amended through March 1, 2023, thereby adopting the changes in the SECURE 2.0 Act.

See the attached tables for a complete list of the provisions adopted by the bill.

Deferral for Sales of Stock to an Employee Stock Ownership Plan. Effective beginning with tax year 2028, the Act allows shareholders of an S corporation to defer recognition of gains on the sale of stock to an employee stock ownership plan. The deferral will reduce taxable income at the time of the sale.

Retirement Account Withdrawals for Emergency Expenses. Effective beginning with tax year 2024, the Act allows an individual to withdraw up to \$1,000 from a retirement account for emergency expenses. The withdrawal is exempt from the federal 10% penalty on early withdrawals but is included in taxable income. The taxpayer may choose to repay the withdrawal within three years. If the amount is repaid, the individual may adjust the prior year's return to exclude the withdrawal from income. Conforming to this provision would reduce taxable income for taxpayers who repaid the withdrawn amount.

Simplified Employee Pension Plans. Effective beginning with tax year 2023, the Act allows employers of domestic employees to offer retirement benefits through a Simplified Employee Pension (SEP) plan. Tax is deferred on contributions to a SEP plan until the time of withdrawal. This provision will reduce taxable income at the time of the contributions.

Distributions from 529 Plans to Roth IRAs. Effective beginning in tax year 2024, the Act allows a beneficiary of a Section 529 college savings plan to roll over up to \$35,000 into a Roth IRA. The provision will reduce taxable income at the time of the rollover.

Charitable Distributions from IRA. Effective beginning December 29, 2022, the Act allows a one-time charitable distribution from an Individual Retirement Account (IRA) of up to \$50,000 to a charitable gift annuity, charitable remainder trust, or charitable remainder annuity trust. The Act also adds inflation indexing to the annual \$100,000 limit on charitable distributions from an IRA. The provision will reduce taxable income.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

Exclusion of First Responder Retirement Benefits. Effective beginning with tax year 2027, the Act excludes qualified service-connected disability pension payments to first responders from gross income. First responders include law enforcement officers, firefighters, paramedics, and emergency medical technicians. Since the benefits otherwise would be taxable, the provision will reduce taxable income.

Distributions from IRA for Federal Disasters. Effective retroactively for disasters occurring on or after January 26, 2021, the Act allows penalty-free withdrawals up to \$22,000 from a retirement account for expenses related to a declared federal disaster. The distribution is included in income over three years, and the amount may be repaid within three years. The provision will spread tax liability for distributions over three years, reducing taxable income in the year of the distribution and increasing taxable income in the next two years. In addition, individuals who withdrew money from a retirement account to purchase a home but did not complete the purchase due to a federally declared disaster may repay the withdrawn amount to their retirement account. For individuals who recontribute withdrawals, the provision will reduce taxable income.

Limit Deduction for Charitable Conservation Easements. Effective December 29, 2022, the Act limits the deduction for contributions of property to a charitable conservation easement. For each partner in a contributing partnership, the deduction is limited to two and a half times the partner's basis in the partnership. Certain exceptions apply if a 3-year holding period is met, for a family-owned partnership, and for certified historic structures. The provision will increase taxable income for partners in the contributing partnerships.

- The estimates are based on the estimates for the federal legislation prepared by the staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation, dated December 22, 2022.
- The estimates for each provision were apportioned to Minnesota based on information relevant to that provision. The estimates were adjusted for the difference between federal and state tax rates and federal and state fiscal years.

### ***Nonresident Percentage (Article 2, Section 4)***

*Effective retroactively beginning with tax year 2019.*

Current law references to the Internal Revenue Code as amended through December 15, 2022, with certain exceptions, including the following:

- The amount of additional business interest that was allowed under the CARES Act in 2018-2020 must be added back to taxable income but can be deducted over five years beginning in tax year 2023.
- Additional net operating losses (NOLs) that were allowed under the CARES Act in tax years 2018-2020 must be added back to taxable income but can be carried forward for up to 20 years.
- A subtraction is allowed for excess business losses subject to the federal limitation in Section 461(l)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code in tax years 2026-2028.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

Nonresident individual income taxpayers must calculate their Minnesota tax liability on their total income. The result is multiplied by the percentage of their income that is Minnesota source income. Current law does not include the above adjustments in the calculation of the nonresident percentage. The bill would include them, which could increase or decrease tax liability for affected nonresidents.

For example, a nonresident taxpayer who claimed additional NOLs under the CARES Act would have to add the amount of the NOLs to his or her income for Minnesota tax purposes. But when apportioning the tax to Minnesota, the calculation of the percentage would not include the addition. If all of the NOLs were assignable to another state, then excluding the addition would reduce the denominator without changing the numerator, increasing the nonresident percentage. If all of the NOLs were assignable to Minnesota, the addition would be included in both the numerator and the denominator, and excluding it would tend to decrease the nonresident percentage. The reverse is true for taxpayers with a subtraction. Excluding the subtraction would tend to decrease Minnesota tax liability for taxpayers with out-of-state NOLs and increase it for taxpayers with Minnesota source NOLs.

- The estimate is based on a sample of tax year 2020 individual income tax returns.
- In tax year 2020, about 1,000 nonresident returns had nonconformity adjustments for NOLs or the business interest limitation. It is assumed that a similar number had retroactive adjustments in 2018 and 2019.
- Total tax liability for those returns was \$32.5 million.
- It is assumed that on average, the bill would decrease tax liability for affected nonresidents by 5%.
- That would be partially offset by increases in tax liability due to subtractions beginning in tax year 2023. The subtractions are spread over five years for excess interest and 20 years for NOLs.
- Subtractions for excess business losses would begin in tax year 2027 and 2028. The additional revenue gain from those subtractions is about \$1.5 million per year. That impact falls mostly outside the forecast window.
- Retroactive impacts were allocated to fiscal year 2024. All other tax years were allocated 30% / 70% to fiscal years.

### ***Repeal Excess Business Loss Subtraction (Article 2, Section 8)*** *Effective beginning with tax year 2026.*

The federal Tax Cuts and Jobs Act limited the deduction for losses from a partnership, S corporation, or sole proprietorship to \$250,000 (\$500,000 for married joint filers.) Any excess losses over that amount may be carried over to following tax years. As enacted, the limitation was effective for tax years 2018 through 2025.

The American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) extended the limit through 2026. The Inflation Reduction Act extended the limit again for tax years 2027 and 2028.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

Minnesota has adopted the limitation on excess business losses through tax year 2025. Beginning in tax year 2026, a taxpayer may claim a subtraction for any excess business losses not allowed federally.

The bill would repeal the subtraction for excess business losses, adopting the federal treatment of excess business losses in tax years 2026 through 2028.

- The estimates are based on the estimates for the federal legislation prepared by the staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation.
- The estimates for each provision were apportioned to Minnesota based on information relevant to that provision. The estimates were adjusted for the difference between federal and state tax rates and federal and state fiscal years.
- Tax year impacts are allocated 30% / 70% to fiscal years.

### **Sales Tax – Article 5**

#### ***Sales by County Agricultural Societies (Article 5, Sections 1 & 8)***

*Effective for sales and purchases the day following final enactment.*

Sales by a county agricultural society, including admissions, parking, admissions to separately ticketed events, concessions sales, and other sales made by employees or volunteers of the county agricultural society during a regularly scheduled county fair are exempt. The exemption is limited to sales or events by a county agricultural society during a scheduled county fair and on the county fairgrounds.

The bill would expand the sales and use tax exemption to presales of parking Admissions, events, and concession sales for days or events that are part of the regularly scheduled county fair.

- Attendance at the 93 county fairs in Minnesota was approximately 2.6 million in 2018.
- The Minnesota Department of Agriculture reports \$22.1 million of income for county agricultural societies in calendar year 2018. The total sales tax collected by Minnesota county agricultural societies is estimated to be \$1.5 million.
- It is estimated that 2% of sales are advance sales for parking, admissions, and events.
- A growth rate of 2% is assumed based on the consumer price index for urban consumers.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

### ***Baby Products (Article 5, Section 2)***

*Effective for sales made after June 30, 2023.*

The bill would expand the exemption of sales for certain baby products from the sales and use tax. These products would now include baby wipes, cribs and bassinets, crib and bassinet mattresses, crib and bassinet sheets, changing tables, changing pads, strollers, car seats and car seat bases, baby swings, bottle sterilizers, and infant eating utensils.

- The estimates are based on information from multiple sources: Grand View Research, MN Department of Health, ResearchandMarkets.com and Statista.com.
- The sources estimate domestic market for the exempt baby products to be \$18.27 billion in 2019.
- Minnesota's portion of birth rates was used to apportion the data. The ratio is 1.8%.
- February 2023 growth rates published by IHS Markit for consumer prices are used to estimate future purchases.

### ***Firearm Storage Units (Article 5, Section 3)***

*Effective for sales and purchases made after June 30, 2023.*

The bill would exempt sales of firearm storage units from sales and use tax. A firearm storage unit is defined as a container that is fully enclosed and locked by a padlock, keylock, combination lock, or similar locking device, and is either specifically designed for the safe storage of firearms or sold for that purpose by a federally licensed firearms dealer. In addition, the seller of a secure firearm storage unit must neither collect, nor transmit personal data or information about a purchaser of a sale that is eligible for exemption.

- The estimates are based on the IBISWorld Report on US Safe and Vault Manufacturing.
- The report estimates domestic market for safe and vault manufacturing to be \$675 million in 2021.
- It is estimated that 35% of total demand is attributable to residential households. It is estimated that 50% of residential purchases is for gun safes.
- Minnesota's portion of national background checks for firearms was used to apportion the data. The ratio is 2.4%.
- A growth rate of 10% is assumed based on 360marketupdates.

### ***Fiber and Conduit (Article 5, Section 4)***

*Effective for sales and purchases made after July 1, 2023.*

Fiber and conduit purchased or leased by a telecommunication or pay television services for use primarily in the provision of telecommunications or pay television services is exempt from Minnesota sales and use tax.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The bill, as proposed to be amended, would exempt the purchase or lease of fiber and conduit for use directly by a broadband or Internet service provider primarily in the provision of broadband or Internet access services.

- This estimate is based on telecommunications expenditures from the 2017 Annual Capital Expenditures Survey from the U.S. Census.
- National expenditure data is scaled to Minnesota based on Minnesota's share of telecommunications gross domestic product.
- Growth rates published by IHS Global Insights, Inc. for telecommunications equipment expenditures are used to project future expenditures in Minnesota.
- Fiber and conduit are assumed 30% of total telecommunications expenditures
- It is assumed that use of fiber and conduit primarily in the provision of broadband or internet access service is 50% of the total market.
- Total equipment expenditures are reduced by 15% to account for labor costs.

### ***Amenities Included with Admissions (Article 5, Section 5)***

*Effective retroactively for sales and purchases made after June 30, 2022.*

Amenities included with the privilege of admission are taxable.

The bill provides an exemption for the sale of amenities, including, but not limited to, food and beverages, parking services, and promotional items, that are included in the sales price of the privilege of admission to athletic events and places of amusement. The sale of amenities would be exempt when sold by a seller of the privilege of admission.

- According to information from the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, national spectator sports admissions totaled approximately \$12 billion in 2019, and national performing arts admissions totaled approximately \$6.4 billion in 2019.
- National amounts were apportioned to Minnesota at 2.4%, based on Minnesota's personal consumption expenditures on recreational services from the Bureau of Economic Analysis.
- It is assumed that 2% of spectator sports admissions and 1% of performing arts admissions would be impacted by the bill.

### ***Nonprofit Blood Centers (Article 5, Section 6)***

*Effective retroactively for sales and purchases made after December 31, 2019.*

Sales to nonprofits are exempt from the sales tax if the nonprofit is organized and operated exclusively for charitable, religious, or educational purposes.

The bill would exempt sales to blood centers from the sales tax provided the entity is organized and operated for charitable purposes as a 501(c)(3) and registered federally as a blood establishment; human cells, tissues, and cellular and tissue-based products establishment; or a clinical lab that

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

performs infectious disease testing, blood typing, and other laboratory testing services in connection with blood processing for transfusion into humans.

The bill would also exempt from the sales tax the lease of automobiles used for carrying out the purposes of the blood center, including the collection, setting up, and delivering of blood to hospitals.

- Information on taxable expenses for nonprofit blood centers was gathered from federal 990 tax forms and provided by impacted taxpayers.
- Expense growth is assumed to be flat for the forecast period.
- It is assumed retroactive claims will be paid in fiscal year 2024.

### ***Nonprofit Snowmobile Clubs (Article 5, Section 7)***

*Effective for sales and purchases made after June 30, 2023.*

Sales of tangible personal property to nonprofit snowmobile clubs used primarily for grooming of state trails are exempt. The exemption applies to grooming machines, attachments, other associated accessories, and repair parts. A nonprofit snowmobile club is eligible for the exemption if it received, in the current year or in the previous three-year period, a state grant-in-aid maintenance and grooming grant administered by the Department of Natural Resources.

The bill would expand the exemption to include sales of materials and supplies used or consumed in, and equipment incorporated into, the construction, reconstruction, maintenance, or improvement of state or grant-in-aid snowmobile trails.

- The Department of Natural Resources reports providing 178 grants totaling \$7.3 million in FY 2023 for grooming and trail maintenance. Additional one-time funding of \$650,000 was provided for FY 2023.
- It is estimated that 10% of the grant-in-aid money is spent on taxable materials, supplies, and equipment.
- The estimate is increased by 5% for spending on materials and supplies from other snowmobile club revenues.
- It is assumed that expenditures will increase by 1% per year.

### ***City of Mazeppa Construction (Article 5, Section 9)***

*Effective retroactively for sales and purchases made after March 11, 2018, and before January 1, 2025.*

There is an exemption from the sales and use tax for building materials and supplies used in, and equipment incorporated into, the construction or replacement of property located in the city of Mazeppa that was affected by a fire on March 11, 2018. Durable equipment used in a restaurant for food storage, preparation, and serving is included in the exemption. The tax must be paid at the time of purchase and a refund requested. The exemption expired on January 1, 2022.



## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The bill extends the expiration date of the exemption to January 1, 2025.

- This estimate is based on project information provided by the city administrator.
- The total project cost is estimated to be \$600,000.
- The cost of materials is assumed to be \$300,000.
- It is assumed that claims for refunds would be paid in fiscal year 2024.

### ***North Metro Range (Article 5, Section 10)***

*Effective the day following final enactment and applies retroactively to sales and purchases made after August 31, 2021, and before December 31, 2023.*

The bill would provide a sales and use tax exemption for materials and supplies used in the construction, reconstruction, upgrade, expansion, or remodeling of the North Metro Regional Public Safety Training Facility in Maple Grove. The exemption would be administered as a refund.

- Information for the estimates was provided by a representative of the city of Maple Grove.
- Total project costs are estimated to be \$17.5 million.
- It is estimated that taxable materials and supplies will cost \$4.5 million.
- It is assumed that all refunds will be paid in fiscal year 2024.

### ***Certain Natural Gas Fees (Article 5, Section 11)***

*Effective retroactively for fees applied to sales and purchases of natural gas that are billed from September 1, 2021, to December 31, 2026.*

Natural gas used as a primary source for residential heating is exempt from the sales and use tax for the billing months of November through April. Any fees associated with the sale of natural gas during those billing months is also exempt.

The bill would provide a sales and use tax exemption for certain fees related to natural gas sold to residential customers for the billing months of May to October. To qualify for the exemption, the fees must be separately stated and labeled as a fee subject to a cost recovery plan for the price increase in natural gas during the period February 13, 2021, to February 17, 2021. For fees exempt from September 1, 2021, to June 30, 2023, the utilities would apply for a refund and credit them to the applicable customers.

- Information for the estimates came from documents filed with the Public Utilities Commission.
- There is a total of approximately \$660 million to be recovered in fees by four impacted utilities.
- It is assumed that all refunds for fees billed from September 1, 2021, to June 30, 2023 would be paid in fiscal year 2024.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

### ***Beltrami County Jail (Article 5, Section 12)***

*Effective for sales and purchases made after March 31, 2024, and before January 1, 2028.*

The bill would provide an exemption from the sales and use tax for materials, supplies, and equipment used in the construction of a new county jail in Beltrami County. The materials, supplies, and equipment would need to be purchased after March 31, 2024, and before January 1, 2028 to qualify for the exemption. The exemption would be administered as a refund.

- Information for the estimates was provided by a representative from Beltrami County.
- The estimated total project cost is \$80 million.
- It is estimated that taxable materials, supplies, and equipment will total \$30 million.
- It is assumed that all refunds will be filed and claimed in fiscal years 2026 and 2027.

### ***City of Chanhassen Construction (Article 5, Section 13)***

*Effective for sales and purchases made after January 31, 2024, and before February 1, 2027.*

The bill would exempt materials, supplies, and equipment used in the construction of the several projects in the city of Chanhassen from the sales and use tax. The exemption would be administered as a refund and apply to purchases made after January 31, 2024 and before February 1, 2027. The projects are as follows.

- a.) New city hall and city center
- b.) Council Chambers
- c.) Park amenities

- Information for the estimates was provided by a representative of the city of Chanhassen
- Total construction costs for materials, supplies, and equipment are estimated to be \$12 million.
- Based on information from the city it is assumed that the refunds will be claimed and paid out evenly during Fiscal years 2025 and 2027.

### ***Chisholm Public Schools (Article 5, Section 14)***

*Effectively retroactively for sales and purchases made after December 31, 2021, and before January 1, 2025.*

The bill provides an exemption from the sales and use tax for materials, supplies, and equipment used in the construction and renovation for the Chisholm Elementary School, Chisholm High School, and Vaughan Steffensrud School in ISD 695.

- Project cost estimates were provided by representatives of the impacted projects.
- Based on construction project timelines provided by representatives of the impacted school districts, it is assumed that all refunds will be claimed in fiscal years 2024 and 2025.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

### ***Duluth Public Schools (Article 5, Section 15)***

*Effectively retroactively for sales and purchases made after June 30, 2021, and before January 1, 2025.*

The bill provides an exemption from the sales and use tax for materials, supplies, and equipment used in the construction of an administrative building and a transportation facility in Duluth, ISD 709.

- Project cost estimates were provided by representatives of the impacted projects.
- Based on construction project timelines provided by representatives of the impacted school districts, it is assumed that all refunds will be claimed in fiscal year 2024.

### ***Ely Public Schools (Article 5, Section 16)***

*Effective retroactively for sales and purchases made after May 1, 2019, and before January 1, 2024.*

The bill provides an exemption from the sales and use tax for materials, supplies, and equipment used in renovations to the elementary school building and high school building and construction of a building connecting the elementary and high school buildings in Ely, ISD 696.

- Project cost estimates were provided by representatives of the impacted projects.
- Based on construction project timelines provided by representatives of the impacted school districts, it is assumed that all refunds will be claimed in fiscal year 2024.

### ***Hibbing Public Schools (Article 5, Section 17)***

*Effective retroactively for sales and purchases made after May 1, 2019, and before January 1, 2025.*

The bill provides an exemption from the sales and use tax for materials, supplies, and equipment used in the addition of an Early Childhood Family Education Center to an existing elementary school, improvements to an existing athletic facility, reroofing at Hibbing Washington Elementary School, and a Hibbing High School restroom remodel project in Hibbing, ISD 701.

- Project cost estimates were provided by representatives of the impacted projects.
- Based on construction project timelines provided by representatives of the impacted school districts, it is assumed that all refunds will be claimed in fiscal year 2024.

### ***Itasca County Construction (Article 5, Section 18)***

*Effective for sales and purchases made after April 30, 2021, and before January 1, 2025.*

The bill would exempt materials and supplies used or consumed in and equipment incorporated into the construction, reconstruction, upgrade, expansion, renovation, or remodeling of the Itasca County courthouse and new correctional facility. The exemption would only apply to purchases made after April 30, 2021, and before January 1, 2025.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

- The estimate is based on project information provided by the Itasca County Administrator.
- The total cost of materials is estimated to be \$6.8 million.
- The project began in 2021 and will be completed in 2024. The distribution of expected refund claims is assumed based on the project timeline.

### ***MSP Airport (Article 5, Section 19)***

*Effective for sales and purchases made after June 30, 2023, and before July 1, 2024.*

The bill would provide a sales and use tax exemption for materials, supplies, and equipment used in the construction, reconstruction, repair, maintenance, or improvement of public infrastructure at Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport. Materials, supplies, and equipment would need to be purchased after June 30, 2023, and before July 1, 2024 to be eligible for the exemption. The tax would be administered as a refund. The exemption would be limited to \$8 million of total refunds.

- Information for the estimates was provided by a representative from Minneapolis-St. Paul Airport.
- It is assumed that all refund claims will be filed and paid in fiscal year 2024.

### ***City of Moorhead Construction (Article 5, Section 20)***

*Effective for sales and purchases made after February 29, 2024, and before April 1, 2027.*

The bill would exempt materials and supplies used in and equipment incorporated into the construction, reconstruction, upgrade, expansion, renovation, or remodeling of a regional library and community center in the city of Moorhead. The exemption would only apply to purchases made after February 29, 2024, and before April 1, 2027.

- The estimate is based on project information provided by City of Moorhead Governmental Affairs Director.
- The total project cost is estimated to be \$32.59 million.
- The total cost of materials is estimated to be \$15 million.
- The project will begin in 2024 and complete in 2027. The distribution of expected refund claims is assumed based on the project timeline.
- There will be additional costs outside of the 2027 forecast window.

### ***Nashwauk-Keewatin Public Schools (Article 5, Section 21)***

*Effective retroactively for sales and purchases made after December 31, 2021, and before January 1, 2025.*

The bill provides an exemption from the sales and use tax for materials, supplies, and equipment used in the construction of a new school building and attached community wellness center in Nashwauk-Keewatin, ISD 319.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

- Project cost estimates were provided by representatives of the impacted projects.
- Based on construction project timelines provided by representatives of the impacted school districts, it is assumed that all refunds will be claimed in fiscal years 2024 and 2025.

### ***Northern Lights Academy (Article 5, Section 22)***

*Effective retroactively for sales and purchases made after December 31, 2021, and before January 1, 2025.*

The bill provides an exemption from the sales and use tax for materials, supplies, and equipment used in the renovation and addition to the existing facility for Northern Lights Academy.

- Project cost estimates were provided by representatives of the impacted projects.
- Based on construction project timelines provided by representatives of the impacted school districts, it is assumed that all refunds will be claimed in fiscal years 2024 and 2025.

### ***Northland Learning Center (Article 5, Section 23)***

*Effective retroactively for sales and purchases made after December 31, 2021, and before January 1, 2025.*

The bill provides an exemption from the sales and use tax for materials, supplies, and equipment used in the renovation and addition to the James Madison Building for Northland Learning Center.

- Project cost estimates were provided by representatives of the impacted projects.
- Based on construction project timelines provided by representatives of the impacted school districts, it is assumed that all refunds will be claimed in fiscal years 2024 and 2025.

### ***City of Oakdale Construction (Article 5, Section 24)***

*Effective for sales and purchases made after August 31, 2023, and before January 1, 2027.*

The bill would exempt materials and supplies used or consumed in and equipment incorporated into the construction of a new public works facility in the city of Oakdale. The exemption would only apply to purchases made after August 31, 2023, and before January 1, 2027.

- The estimate is based on project information provided by Oakdale City Administrator.
- The total project cost is estimated to be \$25.5 million.
- The total cost of materials is estimated to be \$11.5 million
- The project will begin in 2023 and complete in 2025. The distribution of expected refund claims is assumed based on the project timeline.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

### ***City of Ramsey Construction (Article 5, Section 25)***

*Effective for sales and purchases made after December 31, 2022, and before July 1, 2027.*

The bill would exempt materials and supplies used in and equipment incorporated into the construction, reconstruction, upgrade, expansion, renovation, or remodeling of a new water treatment plant in the city of Ramsey. The exemption would only apply to purchases made after December 31, 2022, and before July 1, 2027.

- The estimate is based on project information provided by City of Ramsey Administrator.
- The total project cost is estimated to be \$42.5 million.
- The total cost of materials is estimated to be \$21.25 million.
- The project will begin in 2023 and complete in 2025. The distribution of expected refund claims is assumed based on the project timeline.

### ***Red Lake County School District Construction (Article 5, Section 26)***

*Effective retroactively for purchases made after December 31, 2020 and before January 1, 2026.*

The bill would exempt materials, supplies, and equipment used in the construction of a new school in Independent School District 2906, Red Lake County School District. The exemption would be administered as a refund and apply to purchases made after December 31, 2020 and before January 1, 2026. Refunds for eligible purchases must not be issued until after June 30, 2023.

- Information for the estimates was provided by a representative of ISD 2906.
- Total construction costs for materials, supplies, and equipment are estimated to be \$6.1 million.
- It is anticipated that purchases will be made in spring 2023 through fall 2024.
- It is assumed that all refunds will be filed and paid in fiscal year 2025.

### ***Red Rock Central Schools (Article 5, Section 27)***

*Effective retroactively for sales and purchases made after December 31, 2021, and before July 1, 2025.*

The bill would provide a sales and use tax exemption for materials, supplies, and equipment used in the construction of a new prekindergarten through grade 12 learning facility in ISD 2884, Red Rock Central School District.

The exemption would be administered as a refund. Refunds would not be issued until after June 30, 2023.

- Information for the estimates was provided by a representative of the affected school district.
- Total construction costs for the Red Rock School District project is approximately \$41 million.
- It is estimated that taxable materials, supplies, and equipment for the Red Rock project would be \$16.3 million.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

- The timing of refund claims is expected to affect the estimates.
- It is assumed that all refund claims would be filed and paid in fiscal years 2024 and 2025.

### ***Rock Ridge Public Schools (Article 5, Section 28)***

*Effective retroactively for sales and purchases made after May 1, 2019, and before January 1, 2024.*

The bill provides an exemption from the sales and use tax for materials, supplies, and equipment used in the construction of two new elementary school buildings and a new high school building in Rock Ridge, ISD 2909.

- Project cost estimates were provided by representatives of the impacted projects.
- Based on construction project timelines provided by representatives of the impacted school districts, it is assumed that all refunds will be claimed in fiscal year 2024.

### ***Spring Grove Fire Remediation (Article 5, Section 29)***

*Effective retroactively for sales and purchases made after December 22, 2022, and before January 1, 2028.*

The bill would provide a sales and use tax exemption for certain items if used to repair, replace, or otherwise recover from real or personal property damage that occurred during a December 22, 2022 fire in the city of Spring Grove.

Building materials, supplies, and equipment used in construction, replacement, or repair of real property, and capital equipment to replace equipment destroyed by the fire would be exempt. The exemption would be administered as a refund not to be issued until after June 30, 2023.

- Information for the estimates was provided by a representative of the city of Spring Grove.
- It is estimated that total project costs for fire remediation are \$5 million.
- It is assumed that \$2 million will be spent on items that would be exempt under the bill.
- It is assumed that all refunds will be filed and paid in fiscal year 2024.

### ***Springfield Schools (Article 5, Section 30)***

*Effective retroactively for sales and purchases made after December 31, 2021, and before July 1, 2025.*

The bill provides a sales and use tax exemption for materials, supplies, and equipment used in the construction of the following projects in ISD 85, Springfield School District:

- Construction of a main secure entrance
- Construction of a required tornado storm shelter
- Installation of HVAC improvements
- Conversion of the existing school gymnasium for use for career and technical education trades and auto shop, and
- Addition of new school gymnasium

### **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The exemption would be administered as a refund. Refunds would not be issued until after June 30, 2023.

- Information for the estimates was provided by a representative of the affected school district.
- Total project costs for the Springfield School District projects are \$19.8 million.
- It is estimated that taxable materials, supplies, and equipment for the Springfield projects would be \$11.3 million.
- The timing of refund claims is expected to affect the estimates.
- It is assumed that all refund claims would be filed and paid in fiscal years 2024 and 2025.

#### ***City of Wayzata Construction (Article 5, Section 31)***

*Effective retroactively for purchases made after March 31, 2020, and before January 1, 2025.*

The bill would exempt materials, supplies, and equipment used in the construction of the several projects in the city of Wayzata from the sales and use tax. The exemption would be administered as a refund and apply to purchases made after March 31, 2020 and before January 1, 2025. The projects are as follows.

- d.) Expansion and remodeling of Depot Park
- e.) Construction of community docks for accessing Lake Minnetonka
- f.) Construction of linear boardwalk
- g.) Shoreline restoration
- h.) Restoration of Section Forearm house
- i.) Construction of Eco Park
- j.) Construction of public plaza
- k.) Construction of regional multiuse trail
- l.) Construction of railroad crossings

- Information for the estimates was provided by a representative of the city of Wayzata
- Total construction costs for taxable materials, supplies, and equipment are estimated to be \$16.6 million.
- It is anticipated that the project will start in spring 2023 and would take about a year for completion.
- It is assumed that all refunds will be filed and paid in fiscal year 2024.

#### ***City of Woodbury Construction (Article 5, Section 32)***

*Effective for sales and purchases made after June 30, 2023, and before January 1, 2026.*

The bill would exempt materials and supplies used or consumed in and equipment incorporated into the construction, reconstruction, upgrade, expansion, renovation, or remodeling of the Central Park project in the city of Woodbury. The exemption would only apply to purchases made after June 30, 2023, and before January 1, 2026.



## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

- This estimate is based on project information by City of Woodbury Parks and Recreation Director.
- The total project cost is estimated to be \$39 million
- The cost of materials is estimated to be \$16 million
- The project will begin in 2024 and substantially complete in 2025. The distribution of expected refund claims is assumed based on the project timeline.

### **Local Taxes – Article 9**

*The following provisions have no impact on state taxes. The following provisions are effective the day after the governing body of the local government comply with certain regulations, unless otherwise noted.*

#### ***Local Sales Tax Modifications (Article 9, Sections 1-3)***

A political subdivision can impose a sales tax under specific conditions, one of which is if permitted by a special law. Before requesting legislative approval to impose a local sales tax by special law, the governing body must adopt a resolution indicating its approval of the tax. The resolution must include various information including: the proposed tax rate, detailed descriptions of up to five capital projects that will be funded by the tax, documentation of the regional significance of each project, the amount of revenue used and timeline for each project, and the total revenue raised for all projects.

The political subdivision must submit the resolution to the chairs and ranking members of the House and Senate Tax Committees. A political subdivision must receive legislative authority to impose a local sales tax before submitting to the voters for approval.

The bill would modify certain conditions governing the imposition of local sales taxes. The governing body of a political subdivision seeking legislative approval to impose or modify a local sales tax authorized by special law must adopt a resolution indicating its approval each year it requests legislative approval. The resolution could be adopted no more than 90 days prior to submitting information to the chairs and ranking members of the House and Senate Tax Committees. An amended resolution would need to be submitted if changes are made to the bill. The ballot question submitted to the voters by the political subdivision must state the project or projects proposed to be funded with the tax, the amount for each project proposed to be funded with the tax, and the estimated length of time the tax will be in effect.

#### ***City of St. Paul (Article 9, Sections 4-7)***

The city of St. Paul has imposed a sales tax of 0.5% since 1993 and a use tax since 2000 of 0.5%. The city of St. Paul also has a lodging tax for less than 50 rooms of 3% since 2004 and a lodging tax for greater than 50 rooms of 6% from 2004 to 2019, then it was increased to 7% in 2019.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The bill authorizes the city of St. Paul, if approved by the voters at a general election, to impose a sales and use tax of 1%.

The proceeds would be used to finance \$738 million of street improvements and \$246 million of capital improvements to St. Paul parks and recreation facilities. The bill also authorizes the city to issue up to \$984 million worth of bonds.

The tax would terminate at the earlier of twenty years after the tax is first imposed or when the city council determines the revenues received from the tax are sufficient to pay for the costs of the projects listed above. The tax could expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***Rochester Local Tax Extension (Article 9, Sections 8-11)***

Rochester has imposed a 0.5% general sales tax since 1993. Beginning in 2016, the rate was increased to 0.75%.

The bill would extend the 0.5% portion of the tax. Revenue from the tax is to be used to finance the following projects in the city: \$50 million for street construction, \$40 million for flood control and water quality, \$65 million for a sports and recreation complex, and either \$50 million or \$60 million toward an economic vitality fund. The final total allocated to the economic vitality fund is dependent on passage of a city resolution. From the funds allocated to the economic vitality fund, \$5 million is dedicated for grants and loans for economic development projects within the city of Rochester and \$5 million is dedicated for grants and loans for economic development projects for communities in the Rochester metropolitan statistical area and the cities of Pine Island, St. Charles and Zumbrota.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$215 million plus bond costs. The 0.5% portion of the tax would terminate at the earlier of 24 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***City of North Mankato Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 12)***

The city of North Mankato has imposed a sales and use tax of 0.5% since 2008 and a food and beverage tax of 0.5% since 2020.

The city of North Mankato is currently authorized to impose 0.5% sales and use tax to finance \$15 million for the following projects: the local share of Trunk Highway 14/County State-Aid Highway 41 interchange project, development of regional parks and hiking and biking trails, including construction of indoor regional athletic facilities, expansion of the North Mankato Taylor Library, riverfront redevelopment and lake improvement projects.

The city of North Mankato is also currently authorized to extend the sales and use tax to cover bond issuance of \$9 million. The tax would expire the earlier of December 31, 2038, or when revenues from the taxes first equal or exceed \$15 million.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The authorized bond issuance is increased to \$15 million, and the tax termination date is increased to December 31, 2044, or when revenues from the taxes first equal or exceed \$21 million.

### ***City of Marshall Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 13)***

The city of Marshall has imposed a sales and use tax of 0.5% and a food and beverage tax of 1.50% since 2013.

The bill authorizes an extension of the 0.5% sales tax if approved by the voters at the November 7, 2023, election. The proceeds would be used to finance the construction of a new municipal aquatic center.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$18.37 million plus bond costs. The extended tax would terminate at the earlier of 35 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city council determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***City of Avon local taxes authorized (Article 9, Section 14-16)***

The bill authorizes the city of Avon to modify bonding authority and the tax to be collected to not exceed \$8.1m if approved by the voters at a general election held within two-year period after the bill becomes effective.

The tax would terminate at the earlier of December 31, 2045 or when the city council determines that \$8.1 million has been received from the tax to pay for the costs of the projects. The tax could expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***Excelsior Local Tax Expansion (Article 9, Section 17)***

Excelsior has imposed a 0.5% local sales tax since 2019. Revenue from the tax is used to finance authorized expenses from the Common Master Plan approved in 2017. Authorized expenses would include accessibility improvements, beach area and facilities enhancements, shoreline erosion management, improvement to playground equipment and port and bandshell redesign.

The bill would allow the city of Excelsior to collect additional revenue from the currently imposed tax. Additional revenue collected from the tax would be used to finance the improvements indicated in the Common Master Plan passed by the city council in 2023, including debt service.

The bill would increase the authority to issue bonds by \$23 million plus the cost of issuance. The tax would expire at the earlier of 25 years or when the city determines \$30 million has been raised.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

### ***City of Rogers Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 18)***

The city of Rogers is currently authorized to impose a 0.25% sales and use tax and a \$20 motor vehicle retail sales tax to finance the following projects: \$16.5 million for trail and pedestrian facilities including an I-94 pedestrian crossing, a County Road 144 pedestrian tunnel, and other new trails and trail connections, aquatics facilities consisting of either or both of a splash pad and any contribution toward the community portion of a school pool and community athletic facilities including construction of South Community park, site improvements for future recreation facilities, and a multipurpose indoor turf facility.

The bill increases the projects cost to \$25 million. The authorized bond issuance is also increased to \$25 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the later of 20 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city council determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***City of Edina Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 19)***

The city of Edina is currently authorized to impose a 0.5% sales tax to finance the development of Fred Richards Park for \$17.7 million and improvements to Braemar Park for \$21.6 million.

The bill increases the project cost of the Braemar Park improvements to \$53.3 million. The authorized bond issuance is also increased to \$71 million plus bond costs.

### ***City of Fergus Falls Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Sections 20 - 21)***

The city of Fergus Falls has imposed a sales and use tax of 0.5% since 2018.

The city of Fergus Falls currently has the authorization to impose a sales and use tax of 0.5% to finance \$7.8 million for an aquatics center and \$5.2 million for the DeLagoon Improvement Project. The tax would expire the earlier of December 31, 2037, or when the city council determines the amount received is sufficient to cover the project costs.

The bill authorizes the city of Fergus Falls to increase the project and bonding cost for the aquatics center by \$3 million without holding another local election as approved by the voters at the November 8, 2022, general election.

### ***City of Oakdale (Article 9, Sections 22-25)***

The city of Oakdale currently imposes a sales and use tax of 0.5%. Proceeds from the tax are used to pay for \$22 million of a new public works facility, and \$15 million for construction and rehabilitation for the police department. The tax will terminate the earlier of 25 years after the tax was first imposed or when \$37 million have been raised for the projects.

### **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The bill would modify the authorization for the city of Oakdale's 0.5% sales and use tax. The bill would allow up to \$28 million to be raised for the public works facility and up to \$18 million to be raised for the police department. The tax would terminate the earlier of 30 years or when \$46 million have been raised for the projects. The city of Oakdale would be required to adopt a resolution outlining the changes in project costs and submit it to the state auditor no later than September 1, 2023. The changes would need to be approved by the voters at a general election.

#### ***City of Waite Park (Article 9, Section 26)***

The city of Waite Park currently imposes a sales and use tax of 0.5%. Proceeds from the tax are used to pay for \$7.5 million of regional trail connections, and \$20 million for construction of a public safety facility. The tax will terminate the earlier of 19 years after the tax was first imposed or when an amount sufficient to pay the costs of the projects has been received.

The bill would modify the authorization for the city of Waite Park to terminate the earlier of 20 years after the tax was first imposed for when an amount sufficient to pay the costs of the projects has been received.

#### ***Beltrami County (Article 9, Section 27)***

Beltrami County has imposed a 0.5% transit sales tax since 2014.

The bill authorizes Beltrami County, if approved by the voters at a general election, to impose a sales and use tax of 0.625%.

The proceeds would be used to finance \$80 million of construction for a new county jail. The bill also authorizes the county to issue up to \$80 million worth of bonds.

The tax would terminate at the earlier of thirty years or when the county board determines that adequate revenues have been received to pay for the \$80 million project costs plus bond costs. The tax could expire earlier if the county so determines by ordinance.

#### ***City of Blackduck Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 28)***

The bill authorizes the city of Blackduck to impose a sales and use tax of 0.5%, if approved by the voters at a general election. The proceeds would be used to finance the following projects in the city: \$200,000 for electricity and utility improvements at the city campground, \$250,000 for construction of a playground and ADA-compliant restroom at the city wayside rest, \$300,000 for trail extensions and improvements adjacent to Wayside Rest Park, \$150,000 for city golf course irrigation improvements and \$100,000 for the rehabilitation of the Blackduck Community Library.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$1 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 20 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city council determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

### ***City of Bloomington Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 29)***

The city of Bloomington has imposed an admissions/amusement tax of 3% since 1970, a lodging tax of 7% since 1970 and a liquor tax of 3% since 1986.

The bill authorizes the city of Bloomington to impose a sales and use tax of 0.5%, if approved by the voters at a general election. The proceeds would be used to finance \$35 million for the construction and rehabilitation of the Bloomington Ice Garden, \$100 million for a new Community Health and Wellness Center and \$20 million for construction and restoration of the Nine Mile Creek Corridor Renewal.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$155 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 20 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city council determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***City of Brooklyn Center (Article 9, Section 30)***

The bill authorizes, if approved by the voters at a general election, the city of Brooklyn Center to impose a sales and use tax of 0.5%.

The proceeds would be used to finance \$44 million plus associated bonding costs for the renovation and expansion of the Brooklyn Center Community Center. The bill also authorizes the city to issue up to \$44 million worth of bonds.

The tax would terminate at the earlier of twenty years after being first imposed, or when the city council determines that the amount received from the tax is sufficient to pay for the costs of the project listed above. The tax could expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***City of Chanhassen Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 31)***

The bill authorizes the city of Chanhassen to impose an additional sales and use tax of 0.5%, if approved by the voters at a general election. The proceeds would be used to finance the construction of the Avienda Recreational Facility.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$40 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 20 years after the tax is first imposed or when the county determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the county so determines by ordinance.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

### ***Cottage Grove Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 32)***

The bill would authorize the city of Cottage Grove to impose a general sales and use tax of 0.5%, if approved by voters at a general election. Proceeds would be used on the following projects: \$17 million for improvements to Hamlet Park, \$6 million for improvements to River Oaks Golf Course, and \$13 million for improvements to the Mississippi Dunes Park project.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$36 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 25 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***City of Detroit Lakes Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 33)***

The bill authorizes the city of Detroit Lakes if approved by the voters at a general election held to impose a sales and use tax of 0.5%.

The \$17.3 million proceeds would be used to construct and renovate Detroit Lakes Pavilion, including park, beachfront and parking improvements.

The tax would terminate at the earlier of 12 years from when first imposed or when the city council determines that \$17.3 million has been received from the tax to pay for the costs of the project listed above. The tax could expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***Dilworth Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 34)***

The bill would authorize the city of Dilworth to impose a general sales and use tax of 0.5%, if approved by voters at a general election. Proceeds would be used to finance the construction of a community and recreational center.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$5.4 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 25 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***City of East Grand Forks Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 35)***

The bill authorizes the city of East Grand Forks if approved by the voters at a general election held to impose a sales and use tax up to 1%.

The proceeds would be used to finance \$6.74 million for Civic Center Sports Complex, \$8 million for reconstructions and remodeling of and 2.2 upgrades and additions to the VFW Memorial Arena and Blue Line Arena. The bill also authorizes the city to issue up to \$8.745 million worth of bonds for all the projects listed above.

### **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The tax would terminate at the earlier of 20 years from when first imposed or when the city council determines that \$14.74 million has been received from the tax to pay for the costs of the projects listed above. The tax could expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

#### ***City of Fairmont Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 36)***

The city of Fairmont has imposed a sales and use tax of 0.5% since 2017.

The bill authorizes the city of Fairmont to impose an additional sales and use tax of 0.5%, if approved by the voters at a general election. The proceeds would be used to finance construction of a community center and ice arena. The total local sales tax rate in Fairmont would be 1%.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$20 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 25 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city council determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

#### ***City of Henderson Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 37)***

The bill authorizes the city of Henderson to impose a sales and use tax of 0.5%, if approved by the voters at a general election. The proceeds would be used to finance \$250,000 for the Allanson's Park Campground and Trail project.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$250,000 plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 15 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city council determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

#### ***City of Hibbing (Article 9, Section 38)***

The bill authorizes, if approved by the voters at a general election, the city of Hibbing to impose a sales and use tax of 0.5%.

The proceeds would be used to finance \$19.6 million for the construction of a regional public safety center. The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$19.6 million plus bond costs.

The tax would terminate at the earlier of twenty years after being first imposed, or when the city council determines that the amount received from the tax is sufficient to pay for the costs of the projects listed above. The tax could expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

#### ***City of Golden Valley Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 39)***

The bill authorizes the city of Golden Valley to impose a sales and use tax of 1.25%, if approved by the voters at a general election.



## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The proceeds would be used to finance \$45 million for construction of a new public works facility, \$15 million for purchase of land for the public works facility and \$45 million for construction of a new public safety facility.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$105 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 30 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city council determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***City of Jackson Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 40)***

The bill authorizes the city of Jackson if approved by the voters at a general election held to impose a sales and use tax of 1%.

The \$5.7 million proceeds would be used to finance construction, renovation, and improvements to a new outdoor athletic complex.

The tax would terminate at the earlier of 30 years from when first imposed or when the city council determines that \$5.75 million has been received from the tax to pay for the costs of the project listed above. The tax could expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***Jackson County Tax Authorized (Article 9, section 41)***

The bill authorizes Jackson County if approved by the voters at a general election held to impose a sales and use tax of 1%.

The proceeds would be used to finance \$39 million for construction of law enforcement center and government Center in the county. The bill also authorizes the city to issue up to \$39 million worth of bonds for all the project listed above.

The tax would terminate at the earlier of 30 years from when first imposed or when the county board of commissioners determines that sufficient funds has been received from the tax to pay for the costs of the projects listed above. The tax could expire earlier if the county so determines by ordinance.

### ***City of Monticello (Article 9, Section 42)***

The bill authorizes, if approved by the voters at a general election, the city of Monticello to impose a sales and use tax of 0.5%.

The proceeds would be used to finance \$15 million for new construction and rehabilitation of the Bertram Chain of Lakes Regional Athletic Park, and \$15 million for new construction and improvements to the Pointes at Cedar Recreation Area. The bill also authorizes the city to issue up to \$30 million worth of bonds.

The tax would terminate at the earlier of twenty years after being first imposed, or when the city council determines that the amount received from the tax is sufficient to pay for the costs of the projects listed above. The tax could expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

### ***City of Mounds View Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 43)***

The bill authorizes the city of Mounds View if approved by the voters at a general election held to impose a sales and use tax of up to 1.5%.

The proceeds would be used to finance \$16.5 million for construction of an expanded community center into a regional amateur sports and recreational facility.

The tax would terminate at the earlier of 20 years from when first imposed or when the city council determines that \$16.5 million has been received from the tax to pay for the costs of the projects listed above. The tax could expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***City of Proctor Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 44)***

The city of Proctor has imposed a sales and use tax since 2000. The rate was 0.5% until 2017 when it was increased to 1%. Proctor has also imposed a lodging tax of 3% since 2006 and a food and beverage tax of 1% since 2015.

The bill authorizes the city of Proctor to impose an additional sales and use tax of 0.5%, if approved by the voters at a general election. The proceeds would be used to finance construction of a new regional and statewide trail spur in the city. The total local sales tax rate in Proctor would be 1.5%.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$6.9 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 20 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city council determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***Rice County Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 45)***

Rice County has imposed a transit sales and use tax of 0.5% since 2014.

The bill authorizes Rice County to impose an additional sales and use tax of 0.375%, if approved by the voters at a general election. The proceeds would be used to finance construction of a public safety facility. The total county sales tax rate would be 0.875%.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$48 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 30 years after the tax is first imposed or when the county determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the county so determines by ordinance.

### ***City of Richfield Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 46)***

The bill authorizes the city of Richfield if approved by the voters at a general election held to impose a sales and use tax of 0.5%.

**EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

The proceeds would be used to finance \$11 million for Wood Lake Nature Center building, \$9 million for Veterans Park Complex and \$45 million for Richfield Community Center Project. The bill also authorizes the city to issue up to \$65 million worth of bonds for all the projects listed above.

The tax would terminate at the earlier of 20 years from when first imposed or when the city council determines that \$121 million has been received from the tax to pay for the costs of the projects listed above. The tax could expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

***City of Roseville Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 47)***

The bill authorizes the city of Roseville to impose a sales and use tax of 0.5%, if approved by the voters at a general election. The proceeds would be used to finance \$64.2 million for a new maintenance facility and \$12.7 million for a new license and passport center.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$76.9 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 20 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city council determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

***City of St. Joseph (Article 9, Section 48)***

The city of St. Joseph currently imposes a sales tax of 0.5%.

The bill authorizes the city of St. Joseph, if approved by the voters at a general election, to impose an additional sales and use tax of 0.5%.

The proceeds would be used to finance \$11 million of construction for Phase II of the St. Joseph community center expansion, and \$6 million of construction for Phases II and III of the improvements to East Park along the Sauk River in the city of St. Joseph. The bill also authorizes the city to issue up to \$17 million worth of bonds.

The tax would terminate at the earlier of seventeen years after the tax is first imposed, or when the city council determines that sufficient funds have been received from the tax to pay for the costs of the projects and bonds. The tax could expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

***Stearns County Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 49)***

The bill authorizes Stearns County to impose an additional sales and use tax of 0.375%, if approved by the voters at a general election. The proceeds would be used to finance construction of a new Stearns County Justice Center. The total local sales tax rate in Fairmont would be 0.625%.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$325 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 30 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city council determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

### ***City of Stillwater Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 50)***

The bill authorizes the city of Stillwater to impose a sales and use tax of 0.5%, if approved by the voters at a general election. The proceeds would be used to finance \$12.5 million for the construction, renovation, and improvements to the Riverfront Improvement Project.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$12.5 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 20 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city council determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

### ***Winona County Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 51)***

Winona County has imposed a 0.5% transit sales tax since 2017.

The bill would authorize Winona County to impose a general sales and use tax of 0.25%, if approved by voters at a general election. The authorized tax would be in addition to the currently imposed transit tax. Proceeds would be used to finance the construction of a new correctional facility or upgrades to an existing correctional facility.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$28 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 25 years after the tax is first imposed or when the county determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the county so determines by ordinance.

### ***City of Woodbury Local Tax Authorized (Article 9, Section 52)***

The bill authorizes the city of Woodbury to impose a sales and use tax of 0.5%, if approved by the voters at a general election. The proceeds would be used to finance \$50 million for construction of a new public safety campus.

The bill authorizes a bond issuance of up to \$50 million plus bond costs. The tax would terminate at the earlier of 20 years after the tax is first imposed or when the city council determines that sufficient funds have been received to pay for the costs of the project and bonds. The tax may expire earlier if the city so determines by ordinance.

## **Local Special Taxes – Article 10**

*The following provisions have no impact on state taxes. The following provisions are effective the day after the governing body of the local government comply with certain regulations, unless otherwise noted.*

## **EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

### ***Cook County Lodging Tax (Article 10, Section 1)***

Cook County has imposed a non-transit sales and use tax of 1% since 2010 and a transit sales and use tax of 0.5% since 2017.

Cook County currently has the authorization to impose a lodging tax of up to 1% and an admissions and recreation tax of up to 3% to finance a new Event and Visitors Bureau. Both taxes terminate 15 years after imposition.

The bill repeals the authority to impose an admissions and recreation tax in Cook County. The lodging tax authorization remains, and the tax would terminate 30 years after imposition.

### ***Lake of the Woods County Lodging (Article 10, Section 2)***

The bill authorizes the Board of Commissioners of Lake of the Woods County to impose a tax of up to 3% on gross receipts subject to the lodging tax. The tax would not apply to any statutory or home rule city or town, or the city of Baudette, in Lake of the Woods County that imposes a lodging tax under the general authorization. The total lodging tax in the county must not exceed three percent.

The proceeds would be used to fund a new Lake of the Woods County Event and Visitors Bureau. The Board of Commissioners would be required to annually review the budget of the Bureau.

### ***Wayzata Food and Beverage Tax Authorized (Article 10, Section 3)***

The city of Wayzata was authorized to impose a sales and use tax of up to 1.0% on the gross receipts of all food and beverages sold by a restaurant or place of refreshment. The proceeds are to be used to finance the maintenance expenses of city parks, operation costs of public safety, downtown business attraction and retention costs, and capital expenses, including debt service, for park improvements. The tax, as authorized, requires approval by resolution from the local governing body.

## **Miscellaneous – Article 13**

### ***Solid Waste Management Tax (Article 13, section 7)***

*Effective the day following final enactment.*

The solid waste management tax (SWMT) is imposed on charges for the collection and disposal of solid waste. Currently \$33,760,000 or seventy percent of the revenues, whichever is greater, is dedicated to the Environmental Fund with the remainder deposited in the General Fund.

In fiscal year 2027 and later, fifteen percent of the amount generated from solid waste management tax will be deposited into the resource management account in the environmental fund in addition to the 70% allocation to the Environmental fund.

**EXPLANATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE BILL (Cont.)**

- Solid waste Management Tax collections from the February 2023 forecast are used to estimate the transfers.
- The estimates reflect the difference between the current law transfer amount and the proposed transfer.

**Department of Revenue Data Practices – Article 16**

***Certificate of Rent Paid (Article 16, Section 4)***

*Effective for refunds for rent paid in 2023 and thereafter.*

The Commissioner of Revenue may require a certificate of rent paid to include the social security number or other identification number of the owner or managing agent.

- The provision would have no impact on the state general fund.

Minnesota Department of Revenue  
Tax Research Division  
[https://www.revenue.state.mn.us/  
revenue-analyses](https://www.revenue.state.mn.us/revenue-analyses)

hf1938(sf1811)\_10 Senate OTB / mb trc sd nrg awh tdh th tj sr mas at ct sat cw ew mcy

**Federal Update**  
**Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023, Division T**  
**(SECURE 2.0 Act of 2022)**  
**(\$000s)**

	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
Deferral for Sales of Stock to an Employee Stock Ownership Plan (beginning TY28)* Individual Income Tax	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Retirement Account Withdrawals for Emergency Expenses (beginning TY24) Individual Income Tax	\$0	(\$1,300)	(\$1,300)	(\$1,300)
Simplified Employee Pension Plans (beginning TY23) Individual Income Tax	(\$100)	(\$100)	(\$100)	(\$100)
Distributions from 529 Plans to Roth IRAs (beginning TY24) Individual Income Tax	\$0	(\$1,200)	(\$1,200)	(\$1,300)
Qualified Charitable Distributions from IRA (beginning TY23) Individual Income Tax	(\$500)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,200)
Exclusion of First Responder Retirement Benefits (beginning TY27)* Individual Income Tax	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Distributions from IRA for Federal Disasters (beginning 1/26/2021) Individual Income Tax	(\$900)	(\$500)	\$0	\$0
Limit Deduction for Charitable Conservation Easements (beginning 12/29/2022) Individual Income Tax	\$4,200	\$3,300	\$2,800	\$1,900
<b>SECURE 2.0 Act: All Provisions</b>				
<b>Individual Income Tax</b>	<b>\$2,700</b>	<b>(\$800)</b>	<b>(\$800)</b>	<b>(\$2,000)</b>
<b>Corporate Franchise Tax</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>General Fund Total</b>	<b>\$2,700</b>	<b>(\$800)</b>	<b>(\$800)</b>	<b>(\$2,000)</b>

\*Fiscal impact occurs outside the forecast window.