MINNESOTA · REVENUE

PROPERTY TAX

Electric Generation Facility Personal Property Exemption

March 05, 2013

General Fund

	Yes	No
DOR Administrative		v
Cost/Savings		Λ

Department of Revenue

Analysis of S.F. 0161 (Wiger) as proposed to be amended by SCS0161A-1

	r una impaci			
FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	
	(000's)			
\$0	\$0	(unknown)	(unknown)	

Fund Impact

Effective for assessment year 2013, for taxes payable 2014 and thereafter.

EXPLANATION OF THE BILL

The bill exempts the attached machinery and other personal property of new electric generating facilities that exceed five megawatts of installed capacity. At time of construction, the facility must use natural gas as fuel, be owned and operated by a municipal power agency, use reciprocating engines and generators, be located in a service territory exclusively in a metro county, and be connected directly to a municipality's substation. Construction must commence between June 1, 2013, and June 1, 2017. Transmission lines, gas lines, and other connections are not exempt.

REVENUE ANALYSIS DETAIL

- According to the PUC, no data has been filed for these facilities. As many as 10 facilities may be built for Minnesota Municipal Power Association in Anoka, Chaska, North St. Paul, and Shakopee.
- The proposed exemption to the general public utility provisions may have an unknown impact on the local tax base and tax rate in the future, and may result in a small increase in property tax refunds paid by the state.

Source: Minnesota Department of Revenue Property Tax Division - Research Unit www.revenue.state.mn.us/research_stats/ pages/revenue-analyses.aspx

sf0161(hf0337)_pt_3/lam

PROPERTY TAX BENCHMARKS (Minn. Stat. § 270C.991)

Transparency, Understandability, Simplicity & Accountability	Neutral
Efficiency & Compliance	Neutral
Equity (Vertical & Horizontal)	Neutral
Stability & Predictability	Neutral
Competitiveness for Businesses	Neutral
Responsiveness to Economic Conditions	Neutral

The bill is scored on a three point scale (decrease, neutral, increase) for each principle in comparison to <u>current law</u>.