SALES AND USE TAX<br>Payment Date Changes
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Department of Revenue
Analysis of S.F. 34 (Rest)

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DOR Administrative <br> Costs/Savings |  | x |

Fund Impact

| F.Y. 2014 | F.Y. 2015 | F.Y. 2016 | F.Y. 2017 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |

Effective for taxes due and payable after June 30, 2013.

## EXPLANATION OF THE BILL

Current Law: Generally, sales and use tax is remitted by the $20^{\text {th }}$ of the month following the month in which the taxable sales were made. Under legislation enacted in 2010, beginning with taxes payable after September 1, 2010, vendors having an annual liability of $\$ 120,000$ or more must remit their monthly liabilities in one of two ways: (1) On or before the 14th day of the month following the month in which the taxable sales were made, the vendor must remit $90 \%$ of the estimated liability for the month in which the taxable sales were made; or (2) on or before the 20th day of the month in which the taxable sales are made, the vendor must remit a prepayment for the month in which the taxable sales were made equal to $67 \%$ of the liability for the previous month. (For instance, for the February payment under method 2, the vendor would prepay in February an amount equal to $67 \%$ of their January liability.) In either case, the remaining amount is remitted by the $20^{\text {th }}$ of the month following the month in which the sales were made.

Current law provides that once the cash flow and budget reserve reach their statutory amounts, the sales tax remittance requirements would revert to the schedule in effect before September 2010, as described above. This requirement was met, and the accelerated monthly payments ceased to be mandatory effective July 1, 2012.

The 2010 legislation did not affect the accelerated June payment. Vendors with an annual liability of $\$ 120,000$ or more must remit $90 \%$ of the June liability two business days before June 30. Certain safe harbor provisions apply. The June return and remaining June liability are due by August 20.

Proposed Law: The bill would repeal the 2010 payment changes which are no longer in effect.
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## REVENUE ANALYSIS DETAIL

- Even if the accelerated payment provisions were not suspended, the bill would have no revenue impact because the accelerated payment schedule does not shift tax payments between fiscal years.

Number of Taxpayers: Approximately 4,500 businesses

Source: Minnesota Department of Revenue<br>Tax Research Division<br>http://www.taxes.state.mn.us/taxes/legal_policy
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