

Stadium Review Commission

May 10, 2001

	Yes	No
Separate Official Fiscal Note Requested		X
Fiscal Impact		
DOR Administrative Costs/Savings		X

Department of Revenue

Analysis of S.F. 2045 (Dave Johnson) / H.F. 2241 (Stang) **1st Engrossment of House Bill**

	Revenue Gain or (Loss)			
	<u>F.Y. 2002</u>	<u>F.Y. 2003</u>	<u>F.Y. 2004</u>	<u>F.Y. 2005</u>
		(000's)		
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The proposal is effective the day following final enactment and the task force created under this proposal shall cease operation upon submission of a final report to the legislature and governor.

EXPLANATION OF THE BILL

Current Law: No formal process for review of stadium proposals is outlined in current law.

Proposed Law: The proposal establishes a task force to study issues related to a new or renovated joint sports facility for college and professional football and/or soccer on the Twin Cities Campus of the University of Minnesota. Task force membership is defined and must be appointed by June 15, 2001. The task force shall meet within 15 days of the completed appointments, shall receive proposals by August 1, 2001, and shall issue a report by October 31, 2001. The metropolitan sports facility commission may submit a proposal for renovation of the Hubert H. Humphrey Metrodome. The issues that the task force shall study and consider are described. The task force shall be funded by private sources as long as those sources are not principals in any proposed stadium.

REVENUE ANALYSIS DETAIL

- The proposal has no direct impact on any state funds.

Number of Taxpayers Affected: No taxpayers are directly affected by the proposal.

ADMINISTRATIVE/OPERATIONAL IMPACT

There will be no significant administrative or operational costs or savings to DOR in administration of this bill.

Source: Minnesota Department of Revenue
 Tax Research Division
<http://www.taxes.state.mn.us/polic.html#analyses>

