PROPERTY TAX Mobile Home Park Class Rate, HACA

February 23, 2001

Department of Revenue Analysis of S.F. 790 (Hottinger)/ H.F. 914 (Kuisle)

	Yes	No			
Separate Official Fiscal Note					
Requested		X			
Fiscal Impact					
DOR Administrative					
Costs/Savings		X			

	Revenue Gain or (Loss)			
	F.Y. 2002	F.Y. 2003	F.Y. 2004	F.Y. 2005
	(000's)			
HACA	\$0	(\$1,280)	(\$1,300)	(\$1,300)
Education Hmstd Credit	\$0	(\$90)	(\$100)	(\$100)
Property Tax Refund	<u>\$0</u>	(<u>\$60)</u>	(<u>\$60)</u>	(<u>\$60)</u>
General Fund	\$0	(\$1,430)	(\$1,460)	(\$1,460)

The proposal is effective for taxes and aids payable in 2002 and thereafter.

EXPLANATION OF THE BILL

Current Law: The class rate for mobile home parks is 1.65%.

Proposed Law: The proposal would change the class rate to 1.2%. A change in homestead and agricultural credit aid (HACA) is authorized which reflects the reduction in the class rate.

REVENUE ANALYSIS DETAIL

- The proposal was analyzed on the taxes payable 2001 property tax simulation model.
- Additional HACA is approximately \$1.3 million. (\$1.28 million fiscal year basis after 90/10 school aid shift) in pay 2002 and thereafter.
- Provision for HACA increases is made in the bill. However, HACA does not compensate for school equalized levy shifts. Therefore the lower class rates for favored property results in net tax increases for other property types. Increases in residential homestead net taxes result in an increase in education homestead credit of \$100,000 (\$90,000 fiscal year basis after 90/10 school aid shift) in pay 2002 and thereafter.
- Because total homestead net taxes increase, property tax refunds would increase by \$60,000.

Number of Taxpayers Affected: all mobile home park property owners

ADMINISTRATIVE/OPERATIONAL IMPACT

There will be no significant administrative or operational costs or savings to DOR in administration of this bill.

Source: Minnesota Department of Revenue

Tax Research Division

http://www.taxes.state.mn.us/polic.html#analyses

sf0790-1 / LAM